JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS ISSN: 2217-3412, URL: http://ilirias.com/jma Volume 9 Issue 4 (2018), Pages 53-60.

APPROXIMATE BEST PROXIMITY FOR SET-VALUED CONTRACTIONS IN METRIC SPACES

FAHIMEH MIRDAMADI*, MEHDI ASADI* AND SOMAYEH ABBASI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of set-valued cyclic almost contraction mappings. The existence of approximate best proximity points for such mappings on a metric space is established as well. We also obtain the approximate best proximity for two cyclic set-valued nonlinear contraction maps.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a metric space and A, B be nonempty subsets of X. A mapping $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is said to be cyclic, whenever $T(A) \subset B$ and $T(B) \subset A$. If $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a cyclic mapping, then a point $x \in A \cup B$ is called a best proximity point for T if d(x, T(x)) = d(A, B), where

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : (x, y) \in A \times B\}.$$

A best proximity point also evolves as a generalization of the concept of fixed point of mappings. Because if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, every best proximity point is a fixed point of T. Recently, many authors studied the existence of a best proximity point under some suitable contraction conditions, for more details; see [1, 2, 7-11, 14, 15] and references therein.

Another important and current branch of fixed point theory is investigating the approximate fixed point property, for more details; see [4, 5, 12, 19] and references therein.

The interest in approximate fixed point results arises naturally in probing into some problems in economics and game theory, see [3,13] and references therein. Recently, Mohsenalhosseni and Mazaheri [17] introduced the notion of approximate best proximity point for single-valued cyclic maps as finding a point $x \in A \cup B$ such that $d(x, T(x)) \leq d(A, B) + \varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and it is stronger than best proximity point.

Our goal in this paper is to extend the concept of single-valued nonlinear almost contractions to set-valued cyclic maps that was introduced by Berinde [5] and Ciric [6].

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25, 54C60.

Key words and phrases. Approximate best proximity property; set-valued cyclic almost contractions; Hausdorff metric.

 $[\]textcircled{C}2018$ Ilirias Research Institute, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted March 7, 2018. Published July 11, 2018.

Communicated by Inci Erhan.

^{*}Corresponding Author.

We obtain the existence of approximate best proximity point for such maps in metric spaces. Some existence results concerning approximate best proximity coincide point property of the set-valued cyclic I-contractions T is also obtained. We also prove some quantitative theorems regarding the set of approximate best proximity for set-valued almost I-contractions.

Now, we give some notions and definitions.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and Cl(X) denote the families of all nonempty subsets and nonempty closed subsets of X respectively. For any $A, B \subset X$, we consider

$$H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a,B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b,A)\},\$$

the Hausdorff metric on Cl(X) induced by the metric d. Let X and Y be two topological Hausdorff spaces and $T: X \multimap \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be a setvalued mapping with nonempty values. Then T is said to be

• upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if, for each closed set $B \subset Y$,

$$T^{-1}(B) = \{ x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset \}$$

is closed in X;

• lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) if, for each open set $B \subset Y$,

$$T^{-1}(B) = \{ x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset \}$$

is open in X;

- continuous if it is both u.s.c. and l.s.c.;
- closed if its graph $Gr(T) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in T(x)\}$ is closed;
- compact if ClT(X) is a compact subset of Y. We also use from notation $-\infty$ for set-valued maps.

2. Main Results

In this section, first we prove the existence of an approximate best proximity point for set-valued cyclic almost contraction map in metric spaces. Also, some existence results concerning approximate best proximity coincidence point property of the set-valued cyclic I-contractions T is also obtained. We begin with the notion of set-valued cyclic almost contraction map.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Then a set-valued mapping $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is called a set-valued cyclic map if $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$.

Note that $T(A) = \bigcup \{Tx : x \in A\}.$

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Then a set-valued cyclic mapping $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is called:

(1) a set-valued cyclic contraction (or set-valued cyclic k-contraction), if there exists a number 0 < k < 1 such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y) + (1 - k)d(A, B), \quad \forall x \in A, y \in B.$$

(2) a set-valued cyclic almost contraction or a set-valued cyclic (θ, L) -almost contraction, if there exist two constants $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $L \ge 0$ such that

$$H(Tx,Ty) \le \theta d(x,y) + L d(y,Tx) + (1-\theta)d(A,B), \quad \forall x \in A, y \in B.$$

Definition 2.3. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X. Then a set-valued map $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ said to have an approximate best proximity point property provided

$$\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$$

or, equivalently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_{\varepsilon} \in A \cup B$ such that

$$d(x_{\varepsilon}, Tx_{\varepsilon}) \le d(A, B) + \varepsilon$$

or, equivalently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_{\varepsilon} \in A \cup B$ such that

$$T(x_{\varepsilon}) \cap B(x_{\varepsilon}, d(A, B) + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset,$$

where B(x,r) denotes a closed ball of radius r centered at x.

Theorem 2.4. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X. Suppose that $T: A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is a cyclic set-valued map. If there exist two sequences (x_n) and (y_n) such that $x_n \in A \cup B$, $y_n \in T(x_n)$ and

$$\lim_{n} d(x_n, y_n) = d(A, B)$$

Then T has approximate best proximity point x in $A \cup B$ i.e.

$$d(x,T(x)) \le d(A,B) + \varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and there exist $x_n \in A \cup B$ and $y_n \in T(x_n)$ such that $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = d(A, B)$. So

$$\exists N_0 > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall n \ge N_0 : d(x_n, y_n) \le d(A, B) + \varepsilon.$$

If $n = N_0$, then $d(x_{N_0}, y_{N_0}) \leq d(A, B) + \varepsilon$. Thus $d(x_{N_0}, T(x_{N_0})) \leq d(A, B) + \varepsilon$ and so x_{N_0} is an approximate best proximity.

We first prove that every set-valued cyclic almost contraction has the approximate best proximity property.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, d) be a metric space and A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Suppose that $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is a closed-valued cyclic almost contraction. Then T has approximate best proximity point property.

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in A \cup B$ and $x_1 \in T(x_0)$. Then by the definition of H, there exists $x_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \le H(T(x_0), T(x_1)) + \theta.$$

Similarly, there exists $x_3 \in T(x_2)$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \le H(T(x_1), T(x_2)) + \theta^2$$

By following the same way, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $A \cup B \cup T(A \cup B)$ such that $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq H(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \theta^n \\ &\leq \theta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + L.d(x_n, T(x_{n-1})) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^n \\ &\leq \theta(\theta d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^{n-1}) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^n \\ &= \theta^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + (1-\theta^2)d(A, B) + 2\theta^n \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \theta^n d(x_0, x_1) + (1-\theta^n)d(A, B) + \theta^n + \dots + \theta^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \theta^n d(x_0, x_1) + (1 - \theta n) d(A, B) + n\theta^n$$

hence, $\lim_{x \to a} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(A, B)$. Also we have $\lim_{x \to a} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq d(A, B)$, so

$$\lim d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(A, B)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, T has approximate best proximity point property. \Box

In the following example we show that T is a set-valued cyclic almost contraction and T has approximate best proximity but it has not best proximity.

Example 2.6. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\3\\, 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ with the Euclidean distance, and let T(x) be defined as follows:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{8}) & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \le x \le 1, \end{cases}$$

We have T is a set-valued cyclic almost contraction. Indeed, for every $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, we have $H(T(x), T(y)) = \frac{11}{24}$, $d(y, T(x)) \neq 0$ and $d(A, B) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, we see that T is a set-valued cyclic almost contraction provided that L > 0 is large enough. Also $x = \frac{1}{2}$ is approximate best proximity of T, while T has not best proximity.

Definition 2.7. [17] Let (X, d) be a metric space and A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Suppose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a single-valued cyclic map. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A,B) = \{x \in A \cup B : d(x,Tx) \le d(A,B) + \varepsilon\},\$$

of approximate best proximity of single-valued almost contraction T. We define diameter $P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A, B)$ by

$$\operatorname{diam}(P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A,B)) = \sup\{d(x,y) : x, y \in P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A,B)\}.$$

Now, we obtain the following quantitative estimate of the diameter of the set $P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A, B)$ of approximate best proximity points of single-valued almost contraction.

Theorem 2.8. Let (X, d) be a metric space. If $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a single-valued cyclic almost contraction with $\theta + L < 1$, then

$$\operatorname{diam}(P_{T_{\varepsilon}^{a}}(A,B)) \leq \frac{(2+L)\varepsilon + (3-\theta)d(A,B)}{1-(\theta+L)}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof. If $x, y \in P_{T_{\varepsilon}}^{a}(A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,y) &\leq d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,Ty) + d(Ty,y) \\ &\leq d(A,B) + \varepsilon + \theta d(x,y) + L.d(y,Tx) + (1-\theta)d(A,B) + d(A,B) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2d(A,B) + 2\varepsilon + \theta d(x,y) + L.(d(x,y) + d(x,Tx)) + (1-\theta)d(A,B) \\ &\leq (3-\theta)d(A,B) + (2+L)\varepsilon + (\theta+L)d(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x, y) \leq \frac{(3-\theta)d(A,B)+(2+L)\varepsilon}{1-(\theta+L)}$. Hence

$$\operatorname{diam}(P_{T_{\varepsilon}^{a}}(A,B)) \leq \frac{(2+L)\varepsilon + (3-\theta)d(A,B)}{1-(\theta+L)}.$$

The following example indicates that the above argument is not valid for setvalued almost contraction map T.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with Euclidean metric, A = [0,1] and $B = [\frac{1}{2},2]$. Assume that $T(x) = \{\frac{1}{2},1\}$, for each $x \in A \cup B$. Then

$$H(T(x), T(y)) = 0 < \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$$

for each $x, y \in A \cup B$. Therefore, T is a continuous set-valued cyclic almost contraction with $\theta + L = \frac{1}{2} < 1$. Moreover, $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and x = 1 are best proximity points in Aand so diam $(P_{T_{\varepsilon}^{a}}(A, B)) = \frac{1}{2}$. This shows that Theorem 2.8 is not valid whenever T is set-valued almost contraction.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, d) be a metric space and A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Assume that $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is a closed-valued cyclic almost contraction mapping, then T has a best proximity point provided either A, B is compact and the function f(x) = d(x, Tx) is lower semi-continuous or T is closed and compact.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$. The lower semi-continuity of the function f(x) = d(x, Tx) and the compactness of $A \cup B$ imply that the infimum is attained. Thus there exists an $x_0 \in A \cup B$ such that $d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$ and so T has a best proximity point. Suppose that T is closed and compact map. According to Lemma 2.5, T has the approximate best proximity property. Therefore for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $x_{\varepsilon} \in A$ and $y_{\varepsilon} \in B$ such that

$$y_{\varepsilon} \in T(x_{\varepsilon}) \cap B(x_{\varepsilon}, d(A, B) + \varepsilon).$$

Now, since Y := Cl(T) is compact, we may assume that y_{ε} converges to a point $z \in Y$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Consequently, x_{ε} converges to z' as $\varepsilon \to 0$ such that $d(z,z') \leq d(A,B) + \varepsilon$. On the other hand, since T is closed, then $z \in T(z')$. So $d(T(z'),z') \leq d(A,B) + \varepsilon$. This completes the proof.

Now, we introduce the notion of set-valued cyclic almost *I*-contraction. Also, we obtain the existence of approximate best proximity point for such maps in metric spaces.

Definition 2.11. Let $I : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a single-valued cyclic map and $T : A \cup B \multimap Cl(A \cup B)$ be a set-valued cyclic map. Then T is called a set-valued cyclic almost I-contraction if there exist constants $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $L \ge 0$ such that

 $H(Tx,Ty) \le \theta d(Ix,Iy) + L.d(Iy,Tx) + (1-\theta)d(A,B), \quad \forall x \in A, \ y \in B.$

Definition 2.12. The mappings I and T are said to have an approximate best proximity coincidence point property provided that

$$\inf_{x \in A \cup B} d(Ix, Tx) = d(A, B)$$

or, equivalently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $z \in A \cup B$ such that

$$d(Iz, Tz) \le d(A, B) + \varepsilon$$

A point $(x, y) \in A \times B$ is called a coincidence best proximity (common best proximity) point of I and T if $Ix \in Tx$ (d(x, Ix) = d(A, B))

Theorem 2.13. Let (X, d) be a metric space, A and B be nonempty subsets of X.. Suppose that $T : A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is a cyclic closed-valued map and $I : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a single-valued cyclic map and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(I(x_n), y_n) = d(A, B)$$

for some $x_n \in A \cup B$ and $y_n \in T(x_n)$. Then I and T have a coincidence best proximity point.

Proof. By a similar proof as that of Theorem 2.4, we obtain the conclusion for T and I.

Theorem 2.14. Every set-valued cyclic almost I-contraction in a metric space (X,d) has the approximate best proximity coincidence point property provided that each Tx is I-invariant. Further, if A, B is compact and the function f(x) = d(Ix, Tx) is lower semi-continuous, then I and T have a coincidence best proximity point.

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in A \cup B$ and $x_1 \in T(x_0)$. Then, by the definition of H, there exists $x_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that

$$d(I(x_1), x_2) \le H(I(x_1), T(x_1)) + \theta.$$

Since each Tx is *I*-invariant, i.e., for each $y \in Tx$, $Iy \in Tx$, then $I(x_1) \in T(x_0)$ and so we have

$$d(I(x_1), x_2) \le H(T(x_0), T(x_1)) + \theta.$$

Similarly, there exists $x_3 \in T(x_2)$ such that

$$d(I(x_2), x_3) \le H(T(x_1), T(x_2)) + \theta^2.$$

By following the same way, there exists a sequence $\{x_{n-1}\}$ in $A \cup B \cup T(A \cup B)$ such that $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(I(x_{n-1}), x_n) &\leq H(I(x_{n-1}), T(x_{n-1})) + \theta^n \\ &\leq H(T(x_{n-2}), T(x_{n-1})) + \theta^n \\ &\leq \theta d(I(x_{n-2}), I(x_{n-1})) + L.d(I(x_{n-1}), T(x_{n-2})) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^n \\ &\leq \theta (H(T(x_{n-3}), T(x_{n-2})) + \theta^{n-1}) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^n \\ &\leq \theta (\theta d(I(x_{n-3}), I(x_{n-2})) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^{n-1}) + (1-\theta)d(A, B) + \theta^n \\ &= \theta^2 d(I(x_{n-3}), I(x_{n-2})) + (1-\theta^2)d(A, B) + 2\theta^n \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \theta^n d(I(x_1), I(x_0)) + (1-\theta^n)d(A, B) + \theta^n + \dots + \theta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$d(I(x_{n-1}), x_n) \le \theta^n d(I(x_1), I(x_0)) + (1 - \theta^n) d(A, B) + n\theta^n$$

Thus

 $\lim_n d(I(x_{n-1}),x_n) \leq d(A,B),$ for every $x_n \in T(x_{n-1}),$ Also we have $\lim_n d(I(x_{n-1}),x_n)) \geq d(A,B),$ so

$$\lim_{n} d(I(x_{n-1}), x_n) = d(A, B).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.13, T has approximate best proximity coincidence point property.

Further, the lower semi-continuity of the function f(x) = d(Ix, Tx) and compactness of A, B imply that the infimum is attained. Thus there exists $z \in A \cup B$ such that f(z) = d(Iz, Tz) = d. This completes the proof.

Remark. If I is the identity map on $A \cup B$ in Theorem (2.14), we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.15. Let (X,d) be a metric space and A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Assume that $T: A \cup B \multimap A \cup B$ is a closed-valued map and suppose that sequences $x_n \in X$ and $y_n \in Tx_n$ satisfying following two conditions:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx)$$
(2.1)

and

$$f(y_n) \le \theta d(x_n, y_n) + (1 - \theta) d(A, B), \tag{2.2}$$

where f(x) = d(x, Tx). Then T has the approximate best proximity property. Further, T has a best proximity provided either A, B is compact and the function f(x)is lower semi-continuous or T is closed and compact.

Proof. Let $x_n \in A \cup B$ and $y_n \in Tx_n$ be the sequences that satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). Then we have

$$\begin{split} \inf_{x \in X} f(x) - d(A, B) &= \inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) - d(A, B) \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Tx} d(y, Ty) - d(A, B) \\ &\leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{y \in Tx_n} d(y, Ty) - d(A, B) \\ &\leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(y_n, Ty_n) - d(A, B) \\ &\leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \theta d(x_n, y_n) + (1 - \theta) d(A, B) - d(A, B) \\ &\leq \theta (\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) - d(A, B)) \\ &\leq \theta (\inf_{x \in X} f(x) - d(A, B)). \end{split}$$

Since $\theta < 1$, we get $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$. Further, the lower semi-continuity of the function f(x) = d(x, Tx) and the compactness of A, B implies that the infimum is attained. Thus there exists a $z_0 \in A \cup B$ such that $f(z_0) = d(z_0, Tz_0) = d(A, B)$.

The second assertion follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.10. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

References

- A. Al-Thagafi, N. Shahzad, Convergence and existence results for best proximity points, Nonlinear Anal., 70 (2009) 3665–3671.
- C. Di Bari, T. Suzuki, C. Vetro, Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions, Nonlinear Anal., 69 (2008) 3790–3794.
- [3] C. S. Barroso, The approximate fixed point property in Hausdorff topological vector spaces and applications, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **25**(2) (2009) 467-479.
- [4] M. Berinde, Approximate fixed point theorems, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai. Math., 51 (2006) 11–25.
- [5] M. Berinde, V. Berinde, On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 326 (2007) 772–782.
- [6] LJ. B. Cirić, Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings, Nonlinear Analysis, 71 (2009) 2716–2723.
- [7] A. A. Eldred, P. Veeramani, Existence and convergence of best proximity points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323 (2006) 1001–1006.
- [8] R. Espanola, A. Fernandez-Len, On best proximity points in metric and Banach spaces, Canad. J. Math., 63(3) (2011) 533–550.
- [9] M. Fakhar, Z. soltani, J. Zafarani, *Existence of best proximity points for set-valued cyclic* Mier-Keeler contraction, Fixed Point Theory, (accepted)
- [10] A. Fernandez-Len, Existence and uniqueness of best proximity points in geodesic metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 73(4) (2010) 915–921.
- [11] M. Gabeleh, Existence and uniqueness results for best proximity points, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 16(1) (2015) 123-131.
- [12] M. Hussain, A. Amini-Harandi, Y. J. Cho, Approximate endpoints of set-valued contractions, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2010 Article ID 614867 (2010).
- [13] Khamsi, M. A. On asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in hyperconvex metric spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132 (2004) 365–373.
- [14] W. A. Kirk, P.S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2003) 79–89.
- [15] F. Mirdamadi, Existence of best proximity points for set-valued cyclic contractions, J. Nonlin. Convex Anal., (accepted).
- [16] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, C. Obczynski, Convergence theorems, best approximation and best proximity for set-valued dynamic systems of relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in cone uniform spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 72(2) (2010) 794–805.
- [17] S.A.M. Mohsenalhosseini, H. Mazaheri, M.A. Dehghan, Approximate best proximity pairs in metric space, Abst. Appl. Anal., 2011 Article ID 596971 (2011).
- [18] Nadler, On Coincidence and Common Fixed Point of Multi-Valued and Single-valued Mappings, J. of Anal. 13 (2005) 13–23 [MR2555084].
- [19] M. Pocurar, R. V. Pocurar, Approximate fixed point theorems for weak contractions on metric spaces, Carpathian J. Math., 23 (2007) 149–155.

Fahimeh Mirdamadi

Department of Mathematics, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

E-mail address: mirdamadi.f@gmail.com

Mehdi Asadi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZANJAN BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, ZANJAN, IRAN *E-mail address:* masadi@iauz.ac.ir; masadi.azu@gmail.com

Somayeh Abbasi

Department of Mathematics, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{s.abbasi@khuisf.ac.ir}$