

IDEAL VERSION OF WEIGHTED LACUNARY STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES OF ORDER α

ŞÜKRAN KONCA^{1*}, ERGİN GENÇ² AND SELMAN EKİN³

ABSTRACT. In this work, we are interested in ideal version of weighted lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of order α and we examine some inclusion relations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of statistical convergence was given by Zygmund [32] in the first edition of his monograph published in Warsaw in 1935. The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus [30] and Fast [17] and later reintroduced by Schoenberg [29] independently. Many years later, it has been discussed in the theory of Fourier analysis, ergodic theory and number theory under different names. Further, it was investigated from varied points of view (see, [1]-[3], [5]-[9], [11]-[12], [15]-[16], [21], [26]-[27]).

The order of statistical convergence of a sequence of numbers was given by Gadjev and Orhan [19]. By this way, a different direction was given to the study of statistical convergence, where the notion of statistical convergence of order α , was introduced by replacing n by n^α in the denominator in the definition of statistical convergence by Çolak [8] and later studied by Bhunia et al. [4]. After then λ -statistical convergence of order α was introduced by Çolak and Bektaş [9]; λ -statistical convergence of order α of sequences of function by Et et al. [14]; lacunary statistical convergence of order α by Şengül and Et [31]; statistical convergence of order α in probability theory by Das et al. [13]; weighted statistical convergence of order α and its applications by Ghosal [20] and many other different fields of mathematics.

The notion of I -convergence was studied at initial stage by Kostyrko et al. [23] as a generalization of statistical convergence which was further studied in topological spaces by Lahiri et al. [25]. Kostyrko et al. [24] gave some basic properties of I -convergence and dealt with extremal I -limit points.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40A30; Secondary 40A35; 40C05; 40G15; 46A45.

Key words and phrases. I -convergence; I -statistical convergence; weighted lacunary I -statistical convergence of order α ; sequence space.

©2016 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted June 23, 2016. Published November 15, 2016.

In this paper, we define a new concept called weighted lacunary I -statistical convergence of order α and examine some inclusion relations.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some definitions and notations needed throughout the paper.

The idea of statistical convergence depends on the density of subsets of the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers. The density of a subset E of \mathbb{N} is defined by $\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_E(k)$ provided the limit exists, where χ_E is the characteristic function of E . It is clear that any finite subset of \mathbb{N} has zero natural density and $\delta(E^c) = \delta(E)$.

Let α be a real number such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The α -density of a subset E of \mathbb{N} is defined by

$$\delta_\alpha(E) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : k \in E\}|$$

provided the limit exists, where $\{k \leq n : k \in E\}$ denotes the number of elements of E not exceeding n . It is clear that any finite subset of \mathbb{N} has zero α density and $\delta_\alpha(E^c) = 1 - \delta_\alpha(E)$ does not hold for $0 < \alpha < 1$ in general, the equality holds only if $\alpha = 1$. Note that the α -density of any set reduces to the natural density of the set in case $\alpha = 1$. The sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent of order α if there is a real number L such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case, we write $S^\alpha\text{-}\lim x = L$. The set of all statistically convergent sequences of order α will be denoted by S^α [8]. For $\alpha = 1$, S^α reduces to S (see, in [17]).

Let (p_k) be a sequence of positive real numbers and $P_n = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Riesz transformation of $x = (x_k)$ is defined as $t_n = 1/P_n \sum_{k=1}^n p_k x_k$. If the transformation sequence (t_n) has a finite limit L then the sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be Riesz convergent to L . Let us note that if $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then Riesz mean is a regular summability method. Throughout the paper, let $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $P_0 = p_0 = 0$ [28].

Recall that a number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted statistically convergent to a number L (denoted by $S_R\text{-}\lim_k x_k = L$) provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P_n} |\{k \leq P_n : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

S_R denotes the set of all weighted statistically convergent sequences of numbers [28].

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically summable to L by the weighted mean method determined by the sequence (p_k) or briefly statistically summable (R, p_n) to L if $st\text{-}\lim_n t_n(x) = L$. In this case, we write $R(st)\text{-}\lim x = L$. We denote the set of all sequences which are statistically summable (R, p_n) by $R(st)$ [28].

A lacunary sequence is an increasing integer sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be defined by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and the ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ ($r \neq 1$) will be defined by q_r [18].

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ be given. The sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be S_θ^α -statistically convergent (or lacunary statistically convergent of order α) if there is a real number L such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and h_r^α denote the α -th power (h_r^α) of h_r , that is $h^\alpha = (h_r^\alpha) = (h_1^\alpha, h_2^\alpha, \dots, h_r^\alpha, \dots)$. In this case, we write $S_\theta^\alpha\text{-lim } x = L$ [31]. If we take $\alpha = 1$ then we obtain the definition of S_θ -statistically convergent sequence (see, [18]).

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, (p_k) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $H_r := \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k$, $P_{k_r} := \sum_{k \in (0, k_r]} p_k$, $P_{k_{r-1}} := \sum_{k \in (0, k_{r-1}]} p_k$, $Q_r = \frac{P_{k_r}}{P_{k_{r-1}}}$ ($r \neq 1$), $P_0 = 0$, $I'_r = (P_{k_{r-1}}, P_{k_r}]$. It is easy to see that $H_r = P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}}$. If we take $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then H_r , P_{k_r} , $P_{k_{r-1}}$, Q_r and I'_r reduce to h_r , k_r , k_{r-1} , q_r and I_r , respectively. Throughout the paper, we assume that $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $H_r \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and we mean $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ by $\lim_k x_k$ or $\lim x$ for brevity [1].

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted lacunary statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $S_{(R, \theta)}\text{-lim } x = L$. We denote the set of all weighted lacunary statistically convergent sequences by $S_{(R, \theta)}$ [1].

Definition 2.1. [23] Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and $P(X) = 2^X$ be the family of all subsets of X . Then a family of sets $I \subset 2^X$ is said to be an ideal on X if and only if I satisfies these conditions:

- (1) $\emptyset \in I$,
- (2) $A, B \in I$ imply $A \cup B \in I$,
- (3) $A \in I, B \subset A$ imply $B \in I$.

Definition 2.2. [23] Let $X \neq \emptyset$. A non-empty family of sets $F \subset 2^X$ is said to be a filter on X if and only if

- (1) $\emptyset \notin F$,
- (2) $A, B \in F$ imply $A \cap B \in F$,
- (3) $A \in F, B \supset A$ imply $B \in F$.

An ideal I is called non-trivial if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $X \notin I$, that is $I \neq 2^X$. A non-trivial ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in X$.

$I \subset 2^X$ is a non-trivial (proper) ideal of X if and only if

$$F = F(I) = \{X \setminus A : A \in I\}$$

is a filter on X . $F(I)$ is called the filter associated with the ideal I .

Throughout the paper, I will be considered as a non-trivial admissible ideal and w will be the space of all sequences, unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.3. [23] Let I denote a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X is said to be I -convergent to $L \in X$ ($L = I\text{-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$),

if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to I . The element L is called I -limit of the sequence $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2.4. [11] A sequence $x = (x_k)$ of numbers is said to be I -statistically convergent or $S(I)$ -convergent to L , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

In this case, we write $x_k \rightarrow L (S(I))$ or $S(I)\text{-}\lim_k x_k = L$. Let $S(I)$ denotes the set of all I -statistically convergent sequences of numbers. For $I = I_{fin}$ (the ideal of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N}), I -statistical convergence coincides with statistical convergence.

Definition 2.5. [10] Let θ be a lacunary sequence and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be I -lacunary statistically convergent of order α to L (or $S_\theta^\alpha(I)$ -convergent to L), if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

In this case, we write $S_\theta^\alpha(I)\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L (S_\theta^\alpha(I))$. The class of all I -lacunary statistically convergent sequences of order α will be denoted by $S_\theta^\alpha(I)$.

Definition 2.6. [22] A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted lacunary I -statistically convergent (or $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)$ -convergent to L), if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

In this case, we write $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L (S_{(R,\theta)}(I))$. The class of all I -weighted lacunary statistically convergent sequences will be denoted by $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)$.

For $I = I_{fin}$, $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)$ convergence coincides with $S_{(R,\theta)}$. If $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)$ -convergence reduces to $S_\theta(I)$ -convergence.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ be given. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be I -weighted lacunary statistically convergent of order α to L ($S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ -convergent to L) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

In this case, we write $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L (S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I))$. The class of all I -weighted lacunary statistically convergent sequence of order α will be denoted by $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$.

Remark. (1) For $I = I_{fin}$, $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ coincides with $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha$, that is:

The sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted lacunary statistically convergent of order α to L ($S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha$ -convergent to L) if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

The weighted lacunary statistical convergence of order α is well-defined for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, but it is not well-defined for $\alpha > 1$ in general. To show this, let $(p_k) = (1 + 2^{-k})$ for $k \in I_r$ and $(p_k) = 0$ for otherwise and $x = (x_k)$ be defined as follows;

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 2r \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq 2r \end{cases} \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then we have

$$P_{k_r} = \sum_{k \in (0, k_r]} p_k = \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} (1 + 2^{-k}) = k_r + 1 - 2^{-k_r}$$

and

$$P_{k_{r-1}} = \sum_{k \in (0, k_{r-1}]} p_k = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} (1 + 2^{-k}) = k_{r-1} + 1 - 2^{-k_{r-1}},$$

hence

$$H_r = P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}} = (k_r - k_{r-1}) - [2^{-k_r} - 2^{-k_{r-1}}] \leq (k_r - k_{r-1}).$$

Since for $k \in I_r$, $p_k = 1 + 2^{-k} \geq 1$ then $H_r^\alpha \geq h_r^\alpha$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 1$. Then both

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r' : (1 + 2^{-k}) |x_k - 1| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{H_r^\alpha} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r}{H_r^\alpha} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha} = 0 \quad (\alpha > 1)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r' : (1 + 2^{-k}) |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{H_r^\alpha} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r}{H_r^\alpha} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha} = 0 \quad (\alpha > 1).$$

So it follows that $x_k \rightarrow 1$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$) and $x_k \rightarrow 0$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$) but this is impossible.

(2) For $I = I_{fin}$ and $\alpha = 1$, $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)$ coincides with $S_{(R, \theta)}$ [1].

(3) If $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)$ reduces to $S_\theta^\alpha(I)$ [10].

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $x = (x_k)$, $y = (y_k)$ be sequences of real numbers, then the followings hold:

(1) If $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } x_k = x_0$ and $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } y_k = y_0$ then $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } (x_k + y_k) = x_0 + y_0$.

(2) If $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } x_k = x_0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ then $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } cx_k = cx_0$.

Proof. (1) Let $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } x_k = x_0$ and $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim } y_k = y_0$. Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $A_1, A_2 \in F(I)$ such that

$$A(1) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r' : p_k |x_k - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \in F(I)$$

and

$$A(2) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r' : p_k |y_k - y_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \in F(I).$$

From the definition of $F(I)$, since $A_1, A_2 \in F(I)$ then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in F(I)$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$. From the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} p_k |(x_k + y_k) - (x_0 + y_0)| &= p_k |(x_k - x_0) + (y_k - y_0)| \\ &\leq p_k |x_k - x_0| + p_k |y_k - y_0|, \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in A_1 \cap A_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |(x_k + y_k) - (x_0 + y_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| + \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |y_k - y_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| \\ & < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |(x_k + y_k) - (x_0 + y_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}| < \delta \right\} \in F(I)$$

and hence $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim}(x_k + y_k) = x_0 + y_0$.

- (2) Suppose that $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim} x_k = x_0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear for the case $c = 0$. Suppose that $c \neq 0$, since $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)\text{-lim} x_k = x_0$, then there exists $A(1) \in F(I)$ such that

$$A(1) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \right\} \right| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \in F(I).$$

Then for every $r \in A(1)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |cx_k - cx_0| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \right\} \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}$$

and hence the result is obtained. \square

Theorem 3.3. If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ then $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subset S_{(R,\theta)}^\beta(I)$.

Proof. The proof follows from the inequality

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Thus for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$), then the right-hand side of the set belongs to I . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.4. If a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ -statistically convergent to L , then it is $S_{(R,\theta)}(I)$ -statistically convergent to L .

Definition 3.5. (1) A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be $(R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha(I)$ -summable to L if $I\text{-lim}_r W_r(x) \rightarrow L$ i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{r \in \mathbb{N} : |W_r(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ where $W_r(x) := \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k x_k$. In this case, we write $((R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha(I)\text{-lim} x = L$ or

$x_k \rightarrow L$ ($(R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha(I)$).

(2) A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be $[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha(I)$ -summable (or strongly $(R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha(I)$ -summable) to L if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

In this case, we write $[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha(I)\text{-lim} x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha(I)$).

For $I = I_{fin}$ and $\alpha = 1$, $(R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha$ (I)-summability becomes (R, p_r, θ) -summability.
 If $I = I_{fin}$ and $\alpha = 1$, $[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha$ (I)-summability becomes $[R, p_r, \theta]$ -summability.

Theorem 3.6. Let $I \subset P(\mathbb{N})$ be an admissible ideal, $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence and $I'_r \subset I_r$. Then $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha$ (I)) implies $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$ (I)).

Proof. Suppose $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha$ (I)) and $K_r(\varepsilon)$ and $K_r^c(\varepsilon)$ be defined as follows

$$K_r(\varepsilon) := \{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}, K_r^c(\varepsilon) := \{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| < \varepsilon\} \quad (3.1)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| &\geq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I'_r} p_k |x_k - L| \\ &= \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| + \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r^c(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| = \varepsilon \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

So for a given $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \delta$$

i.e.

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \delta \right\}.$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha$ (I)), the set on the right hand side belongs to I and so the result is obtained. \square

Theorem 3.7. (1) Let $p_k |x_k - L| \leq M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_r \subset I'_r$. If $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$ (I)) then $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($[R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha$ (I)). (2) Let $p_k |x_k - L| \leq M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_r \subset I'_r$. If a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is $S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$ (I)-convergent to L then it is $(R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha$ (I)-summable to L .

Proof. (1) Suppose that $p_k |x_k - L| \leq M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_r \subset I'_r$. Let $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$ (I)) and $K_r(\varepsilon)$ and $K_r^c(\varepsilon)$ be defined as in 3.1. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| &\leq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I'_r} p_k |x_k - L| \\ &= \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| + \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r^c(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| \\ &\leq M \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |K_r(\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L$ ($S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha$ (I)),

$$A(\varepsilon) := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \right\} \in I.$$

If $r \in A^c(\varepsilon)$, then

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| \geq 2\varepsilon \right\} \subset A(\varepsilon).$$

It follows that the latter set belongs to I which immediately implies

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

This shows that $x_k \rightarrow L \left([R, p_r, \theta]^\alpha (I) \right)$.

(2) Assume that $p_k |x_k - L| \leq M$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_r \subset I'_r$. Let $K_r(\varepsilon)$ and $K_r^c(\varepsilon)$ be defined as in 3.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |W_r(x) - L| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I'_r} p_k x_k - L \right| = \left| \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I'_r} p_k (x_k - L) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r(\varepsilon)}} p_k (x_k - L) + \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r^c(\varepsilon)}} p_k (x_k - L) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| + \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I'_r \\ k \in K_r^c(\varepsilon)}} p_k |x_k - L| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha (I) \right)$, there exists $A(\varepsilon) \in I$ such that

$$A(\varepsilon) := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \right\} \in I.$$

If $r \in (A(\varepsilon))^c$ then $|W_r(x) - L| < 2\varepsilon$. Hence

$$\{r \in \mathbb{N} : |W_r(x) - L| \geq 2\varepsilon\} \subset A(\varepsilon)$$

and so belongs to I . This shows that $I\text{-lim } (R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha (I) = L$, hence $x_k \rightarrow L \left((R, p_r, \theta)^\alpha (I) \right)$. \square

Theorem 3.8. The following statements are true:

- (1) If $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_\theta^\alpha (I) \right)$ then $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha (I) \right)$.
- (2) Let $\frac{H_r}{h_r}$ be upper bounded. If $p_k \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_{(R, \theta)}^\alpha (I) \right)$ then $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_\theta^\alpha (I) \right)$.

Proof. (1) If $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $H_r \leq h_r$. Thus $(H_r)^\alpha \leq (h_r)^\alpha$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. So, there exists M_1 constant such that $0 < M_1 \leq \frac{H_r}{h_r} \leq 1$ and hence $(M_1 h_r)^\alpha \leq (H_r)^\alpha$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \rightarrow L \left(S_\theta^\alpha (I) \right)$ then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &= \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(M_1 h_r)^\alpha} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} \leq k_{r-1} < k \leq P_{k_r} \leq k_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M_1^\alpha h_r^\alpha} |\{k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1^\alpha h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for a given $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq M_1^\alpha \delta.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq M_1^\alpha \delta \right\}.$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L (S_\theta^\alpha(I))$, the set on the right hand side belongs to I and so it follows that $x_k \rightarrow L (S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I))$.

(2) If $p_k \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $H_r \geq h_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\frac{H_r}{h_r}$ be upper bounded, so there exists M_2 constant such that $1 \leq \frac{H_r}{h_r} \leq M_2 < \infty \Rightarrow H_r \leq M_2 h_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $x = (x_k)$ converges to the limit L in $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$, then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &= \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{M_2^\alpha}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k_{r-1} \leq P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= M_2^\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= M_2^\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for a given $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{M_2^\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{M_2^\alpha} \right\}.$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L (S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I))$, the set on the right-hand side belongs to I and so it follows that $x_k \rightarrow L (S_\theta^\alpha(I))$. \square

Theorem 3.9. If $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_r^\alpha}{P_{k_r}} > 0$ and $x_k \rightarrow L (S_R(I))$ then $x_k \rightarrow L (S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I))$.

Proof. Suppose that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_r^\alpha}{P_{k_r}} > 0$ then there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $\frac{H_r^\alpha}{P_{k_r}} \geq \gamma$ for sufficiently large values of r . Let $x = (x_k) \in S_R(I)$ with $S_R(I)\text{-lim } x = L$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for sufficiently large values of r , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} |\{k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\geq \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{H_r^\alpha}{P_{k_r}} \left(\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \right) \\ &\geq \gamma \left(\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \right) \\ &= \gamma \left(\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

So for a given $\delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} |\{k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \gamma\delta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} |\{k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \gamma\delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow L(S_R(I))$, the set on the right-hand side belongs to I and so it follows that $x_k \rightarrow L(S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I))$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ and $\theta' = (s_r)$ be two lacunary sequences such that $I_r \subset J_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $J_r = (s_{r-1}, s_r]$ and let α and β be such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then the followings hold.*

- (1) *If $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_r^\alpha}{L_r^\beta} > 0$, then $S_{(R,\theta')}^\beta(I) \subseteq S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$,*
- (2) *If $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_r}{H_r^\beta} = 1$, then $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subset S_{(R,\theta')}^\beta(I)$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_r^\alpha}{L_r^\beta} > 0$ then there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $\frac{H_r^\alpha}{L_r^\beta} \geq \gamma$ for sufficiently large values of r . Since $I_r \subset J_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then it clearly can be seen that $I'_r \subset J'_r$ (for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$) where $I'_r = (P_{k_{r-1}}, P_{k_r}]$ and $J'_r = (P_{s_{r-1}}, P_{s_r}]$ such that $P_{k_r} = \sum_{k \in (0, k_r]} p_k$, $P_{k_{r-1}} = \sum_{k \in (0, k_{r-1}]} p_k$, and $H_r = P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}}$ and $L_r = P_{s_r} - P_{s_{r-1}}$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \supseteq \{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| & \geq \frac{H_r^\alpha}{L_r^\beta} \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \geq \gamma \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta.$$

Since $(x_k) \in S_{(R,\theta')}^\beta(I)$, then there exists $A(\varepsilon) \in I$ such that

$$A(\varepsilon) := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \right\} \subset A(\varepsilon) \in I.$$

Since $A(\varepsilon) \in I$ then the set on the left hand side belongs to I and so it follows that $(x_k) \in S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$.

(2) Let $(x_k) \in S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_r}{H_r^\beta} = 1$ be satisfied. Since $I_r \subset J_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $I'_r \subset J'_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may write,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &= \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{P_{s_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_{r-1}} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &+ \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{P_{k_r} < k \leq P_{s_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &+ \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{P_{k_{r-1}} - P_{s_{r-1}}}{L_r^\beta} + \frac{P_{s_r} - P_{k_r}}{L_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{L_r - H_r}{L_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{L_r - H_r}{H_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{H_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{L_r}{H_r^\beta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{H_r^\beta} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{L_r^\beta} |\{k \in J'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{H_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_r}{H_r^\beta} = 1$ and $x = (x_k) \in S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$, then $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subseteq S_{(R,\theta')}^\beta(I)$. \square

Corollary 3.11. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ and $\theta' = (s_r)$ be two lacunary sequences such that $I_r \subset J_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_r^\alpha}{L_r^\alpha} > 0$, then

- (1) $S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I) \subseteq S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$
- (2) $S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I) \subseteq S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$
- (3) For $\alpha = 1$, $S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I) \subseteq S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I)$.

If $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_r}{H_r^\alpha} = 1$, then

- (1) $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subset S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I)$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.
- (2) $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subset S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I)$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.
- (3) For $\alpha = 1$, $S_{(R,\theta)}^\alpha(I) \subset S_{(R,\theta')}^\alpha(I)$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments that help to improve this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Başarır, Ş. Konca, *On some spaces of lacunary convergent sequences derived by Nörlund-type mean and weighted lacunary statistical convergence*, Arab. J. Math. Sci. **20 2** (2014) 250–263.
- [2] M. Başarır, Ş. Konca, *Weighted lacunary statistical convergence in locally solid Riesz spaces*, Filomat. **28 10** (2014) 2059–2067.
- [3] C. Belen, S. A. Mohiuddine, *Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application*, Appl. Math. Comput. **219 18** (2013) 9821–9826.
- [4] S. Bhunia, P. Das, S. Pal, *Restricting statistical convergence*, Acta Math. Hungar. **134 1-2** (2011) 153–161.
- [5] A. Caserta, G. D. Maio and L. D. R. Kocinac, *Statistical convergence in function spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2011** (2011) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/420419>.
- [6] J. Connor, *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32 2** (1989) 194–198.
- [7] H. Çakalli, *Lacunary statistical convergence in topological groups*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **26 2** (1995) 113–119.
- [8] R. Çolak, *Statistical convergence of order α* , Mod. Methods Anal. Appl. New Delhi, India: Anamaya Pub. (2010) 121–129.

- [9] R. Çolak, Ç. A. Bektaş, λ -statistical convergence of order α , Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed. **31 3** (2011) 953–959.
- [10] P. Das, E. Savaş, *On I -statistical and I -lacunary statistical convergence of order α* , Bulletin. Iranian Math. Soc. **40 2** (2014) 459–472.
- [11] P. Das, E. Savaş, K. Ghosal, *On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24 9** (2011) 1509–1514.
- [12] P. Das and P. Malik, *On the statistical and variation of double sequences*, Real Anal. Exchange. **33 2** (2007) 351–364.
- [13] P. Das, S. Ghosal, S. Som, *Statistical convergence of order α in probability*, Arab J. Math. Sci. **21 2** (2015) 253–265.
- [14] M. Et, M. Çinar and M. Karakaş, *On λ -statistical convergence of order α of sequences of functions*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2013 1** (2013) 1–8.
- [15] M. Et, S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi, *On λ -statistical convergence and strongly λ -summable functions of order α* , J. Inequal. Appl. **2013 469** (2013).
- [16] M. Et, A. Alotaibi, S. A. Mohiuddine, *On (Δ^m, I) -statistical convergence of order α* , Scientific World J. **2014**, Article ID 535419, 5 pages.
- [17] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2 3-4** (1951) 241–244.
- [18] J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. **160 1** (1993) 43–51.
- [19] A. D. Gadjev, C. Orhan, *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **32 1** (2002) 129–138.
- [20] S. Ghosal, *Weighted statistical convergence of order α and its applications*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **24 1** (2016) 60–67.
- [21] B. Hazarika, E. Savaş, *Some I -convergent λ -summable difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions*, Math. Comput. Model. **54 11-12** (2011) 2986–2998.
- [22] Ş. Konca, *Weighted lacunary I -statistical convergence*, Igdir F. B. E. D. **7 1** 2017, preprint.
- [23] P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczynski, T. Salat, *I -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange. **26 2** (2000) 669–686.
- [24] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, M. Slezia, *I -convergence and extremal I -limit points*, Math. Slovaca. **55 4** (2005) 443–464.
- [25] B. K. Lahiri, P. Das, *I and I^* -convergence in topological spaces*, Math. Bohem. **130 2** (2005) 153–160.
- [26] S. A. Mohiuddine, *Statistical weighted A -summability with application to Korovkin's type approximation theorem*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2016 101** (2016).
- [27] S. A. Mohiuddine, M. Aiyub, *Lacunary statistical convergence in random 2-normed spaces*, Applied Math. Inform. Sci. **6 3**, (2012) 581–585.
- [28] M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertürk, F. Gürsoy, *Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218 18** (2012) 9132–9137.
- [29] I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly. **66 5** (1959) 361–375.
- [30] H. Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math. **2 1** (1951) 73–74.
- [31] H. Şengül, M. Et, *On lacunary statistical convergence of order α* , Acta Math. Sci. Ser. **34 2** (2014) 473–482.
- [32] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge, UK; Cambridge University Press 1979.

ŞÜKRAN KONCA^{1*}

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BITLIS EREN UNIVERSITY, 13000, TURKEY
E-mail address: ^{1*}skonca@beu.edu.tr

ERGIN GENÇ²

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BITLIS EREN UNIVERSITY, 13000, TURKEY
E-mail address: ²ergingenc4444@gmail.com

SELMAN EKİN³

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BITLIS EREN UNIVERSITY, 13000, TURKEY
E-mail address: ³selmanekin@hotmail.com