

MIXED FORM OF HILBERT INTEGRAL INEQUALITY

NIZAR K. AL-OUSSHOUSH

ABSTRACT. A mixed form of Hilbert integral inequality are given with a best constant factor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, f and g are positive functions such that $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $g \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the famous Hardy-Hilbert's inequality is given as

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (1.1)$$

the constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}$ is the best possible [1]. Inequality (1.1) was extended in different ways. Krnic et al. [2] adding some parameters to introducing the following best extension of (1.1)

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy < B(1 - pA_2, \lambda + pA_2 - 1) \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} x^{pqA_1 - 1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} y^{pqA_2 - 1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $B(1 - pA_2, \lambda + pA_2 - 1)$ is the best possible constants ($B(x, y)$ is the Beta function), $\lambda > 0$, $A_1 \in \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{q}, \frac{1}{q}\right)$, $A_2 \in \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)$ and $pA_2 + qA_1 = 2 - \lambda$. For $0 < p < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $A_1 \in \left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1-\lambda}{q}\right)$, $A_2 \in \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)$ and $pA_2 + qA_1 = 2 - \lambda$ the reverse form of (1.2) is also valid.

Refinements of some Hilbert-type inequalities by virtue of various methods were obtained in [3] and [4]. A survey of some recent results concerning Hilbert and Hilbert-type inequalities can be found in [5,6,7,8].

If $p > 1$, $f(x) > 0$, and $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$, then the well-known Hardy inequality [1] is given as

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert inequality, Hölder inequality, Hardy inequality.

©2016 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted August 11, 2016. Published October 31, 2016.

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{F(x)}{x} \right)^p dx < \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx, \quad (1.3)$$

where the constant $\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p$ is the best possible. A weighted form of (1.3) is giving also by Hardy [1] as

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^a \left(\frac{F(x)}{x} \right)^p dx < \left(\frac{p}{p-1-a} \right)^p \int_0^{\infty} x^a f^p(x) dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where $p > 1, a < p-1$ or $p < 0, a > p-1$ and the constant $\left(\frac{p}{p-1-a} \right)^p$ is the best possible. Inequality (1.3) was discovered by Hardy while he was trying to introduce a simple proof of Hilbert inequality.

For the history and development of (1.3), we recommend interested readers to see the papers [10,11].

Recently in [12] for $f, g > 0, f, g \in L(0, \infty), F(x) = \int_0^x f(u) du$ and $G(x) = \int_0^x g(u) du, \lambda > 0$, the following form of (1.1) is obtained

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda}} dx dy \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{pq} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^{-\lambda-1} F^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} y^{-\lambda-1} G^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant $\frac{\lambda^2}{pq} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p}\right)$ is the best possible. By introducing a new parameter $\gamma \in \left(\frac{-\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)$ an extended form of (1.5) was given in [13,14] as

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda}} dx dy \leq C \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^{-\lambda-1-p\gamma} F^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} y^{-\lambda-1+q\gamma} G^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $C = \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} - \gamma\right) B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma, \frac{\lambda}{q} - \gamma\right)$ is the best possible constant. Also, in [12] a differential form of the Hilbert's inequality was obtained, namely

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda}} dx dy \leq L \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-1} \left(f^{(n)}(x) \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-1} \left(g^{(n)}(y) \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the constant $L = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{p}-n)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{q}-n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$ is the best possible. Here, $\Gamma(u)$ is the gamma function, $\lambda > 0, n = 0, 1, \dots$. Note that if we let $n = 0, \lambda = 1$, and $p = q = 2$ we obtain the classical Hilbert's inequality. It should be mentioned here that [14] extended it to a general homogeneous functions. In this paper we introduce some mixed forms of Hilbert's inequality which includes (1.6) and (1.7). The obtained inequalities are with a best constant factor.

2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

Recall that the Gamma function $\Gamma(\theta)$ and the Beta function $B(\mu, \nu)$ are defined respectively by

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \theta > 0,$$

$$B(\mu, \nu) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{(t+1)^{\mu+\nu}} dt, \quad \mu, \nu > 0.$$

By the definition of the gamma function, we may write

$$\frac{1}{(x+y)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(x+y)t} dt. \quad (2.1)$$

We will need the following two Lemmas which are given in [9]:

Lemma.2.1[12]. Let $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\varphi > 0$, $\varphi \in L(0, \infty)$, $\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(u) du$, then for $t > 0$ we have

Body Math

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} \varphi(x) dx \leq t^{\frac{1}{r}-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha s + 1)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\alpha r} e^{-tx} \Phi^r(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma.2.2[12]. Let $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\varphi > 0$, the derivatives $\varphi', \varphi'', \dots, \varphi^{(n)}$ exists and positive and $\varphi^{(n)} \in L(0, \infty)$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) ($\varphi^{(0)} := \varphi$), moreover, suppose that $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = \dots = \varphi^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$, then for $t, \alpha > 0$ we have

Body Math

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} \varphi(x) dx \leq t^{-n-\frac{1}{r}-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha s + 1)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\alpha r} e^{-tx} \left(\varphi^{(n)}(x) \right)^r dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}. \quad (2.3)$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $-\frac{\lambda}{p} < \gamma < \frac{\lambda}{q} - n$. Suppose that f satisfies the conditions of φ in Lemma 2.1 and g satisfies the conditions of φ in Lemma 2.2 .

If $\int_0^{\infty} x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} F^p(x) dx < \infty$ and $\int_0^{\infty} y^{q(\gamma+n+1)-\lambda-1} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} F^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} y^{q(\gamma+n+1)-\lambda-1} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the constant $C = \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma \right) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma) \Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{q} - \gamma - n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$ is the best possible.

Proof. By using (2.1) and applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &=: \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)g(y) \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(x+y)t} dt \right) dx dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{\lambda-1}{p} + \gamma} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(x) dx \right) \left(t^{\frac{\lambda-1}{q} - \gamma} \int_0^\infty e^{-yt} g(y) dy \right) dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1+p\gamma} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(x) dx \right)^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1-q\gamma} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-yt} g(y) dy \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 for $r = p, s = q$, we get

$$\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(x) dx \right)^p \leq t^{1-p\alpha} \Gamma(q\alpha + 1)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_0^\infty x^{-p\alpha} e^{-tx} F^p(x) dx$$

and by Lemma 2.2 for $r = p, s = q$ we obtain

$$\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-yt} g(y) dy \right)^q \leq t^{-qn-q\beta-q+1} \Gamma(p\beta + 1)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_0^\infty y^{-q\beta} e^{-ty} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy.$$

Substituting these two inequalities in (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \frac{\Gamma(q\alpha + 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(p\beta + 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-p\alpha} F^p(x) \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+p(\gamma-\alpha)} e^{-tx} dt dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty y^{-q\beta} (g^{(n)})^q \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-q(\gamma+\beta+n)-q} e^{-ty} dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= D \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} F^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{q(\gamma+n+1)-\lambda-1} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $D = \frac{\Gamma(q\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(p\beta+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda+p\gamma-p\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma(\lambda-q\gamma-q\beta-q(n+1)+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$. Now if we set

$\alpha = \frac{\lambda+p\gamma}{pq}$ and $\beta = \frac{\lambda-q(\gamma+n+1)}{pq}$, we find $D = C$. Thus inequality (3.1) is proved.

We need to prove that the constant factor C contained in (3.1) is the best possible.

For $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda - q\gamma$ we define two functions $f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p} x^{\frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p}-1}$ and $g_\varepsilon(x) =$

$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-n)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q})} x^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}$ for $x \geq 1$ and $f_\varepsilon(x) = g_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. Then, we get

$F_\varepsilon(x) = \left(x^{\frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p}} - 1 \right)$ for $x \geq 1$, $F_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) =$

$x^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-n-1}$ for $x \geq 1$ and $g_\varepsilon^{(n)}(x) = 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that C is not the best possible, then there exist $0 < K < C$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{f_\varepsilon(x)g_\varepsilon(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy < K \left(\int_1^\infty x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} \left(x^{\frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p}} - 1 \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_1^\infty y^{q(\gamma+n+1)-\lambda-1} \left(y^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-n-1} \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& < K \left(\int_1^\infty x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} x^{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_1^\infty y^{q(\gamma+n+1)-\lambda-1} y^{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon-q(n+1)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& = K \left(\int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_1^\infty y^{-\varepsilon-1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{K}{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $(\theta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-n)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q})})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{f_\varepsilon(x)g_\varepsilon(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy = \theta(\varepsilon) \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\frac{\lambda+p\gamma-\varepsilon}{p}-1} y^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \\
& = \theta(\varepsilon) \int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_{\frac{1}{x}}^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(u+1)^\lambda} du dx \\
& = \theta(\varepsilon) \int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(u+1)^\lambda} du - \int_0^{\frac{1}{x}} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(u+1)^\lambda} du \right\} dx \\
& = \theta(\varepsilon) \frac{B\left(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda+p\gamma}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)}{\varepsilon} - \theta(\varepsilon) \int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{x}} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(u+1)^\lambda} du dx \\
& > \theta(\varepsilon) \frac{B\left(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda+p\gamma}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)}{\varepsilon} - \theta(\varepsilon) \int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{x}} u^{\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}-1} du dx \\
& = \theta(\varepsilon) \frac{B\left(\frac{\lambda-q\gamma-\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda+p\gamma}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)}{\varepsilon} - O(1). \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ from (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain a contradiction. Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.

Remark.

If we put $n = 0$ in (3.1) we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C_1 \left(\int_0^\infty x^{-\lambda-p\gamma-1} F^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{q(\gamma+1)-\lambda-1} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (3.5)$$

where $C_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma\right) B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p} + \gamma, \frac{\lambda}{q} - \gamma\right)$ is the best possible constant. If we apply the weighted Hardy inequality (1.4) to the first integral on the right of (3.5) and let $\gamma = \frac{p-\lambda-pqA_1}{p}$ ($-\frac{\lambda}{p} < \gamma < \frac{\lambda}{q}$) under the condition $pA_2 + qA_1 = 2 - \lambda$ we get inequality (1.2) from the introduction.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the referee and the editor for their valuable comments that helped me to improve the final version of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. Hardy , J.E. Littlewood , and G. Polya , “*Inequalities*”, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- [2] M. Krnic , G. Mingzhe , J. Pecaric , G. Xuemei , On the best constant in Hilbert’s inequality,. *Math.Inequal.Appl.* 8(2), pp.317-329, 2005.
- [3] M. Krnić, J. Pecarić, *Extension of Hilbert’s inequality*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324 (2006), 150–160.
- [4] M. Krnić, J. Pecarić, *General Hilbert’s and Hardy’s inequalities*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 8 (2005), 29–52.
- [5] M. Krnić, *A refined discrete Hilbert inequality via the Hermite-Hadamard inequality*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012), 1587–1596.
- [6] B. Yang, T.M. Rassias, *On the way of weight coefficients and research for the Hilbert-type inequalities*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 6 (2003), 625–658.
- [7] B. Yang, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*, science press, Beijing, China(2009).
- [8] D. S. Mitrinović , J. E. Pečarić , A. M. Fink , *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [9] L. Y. Chan , Some Further Extensions of Hardy’s Inequality, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24, pp.393-400, 1981.
- [10] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda, L.E. Persson, *The prehistory of the Hardy inequality*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 113(2006), 715-732.
- [11] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda, L.E. Persson, *The Hardy inequality –About its history and some related results*, Vydavatelský servis, Pilsen, 2007.
- [12] L.E.Azar, *Tow new forms of Hilbert integral inequality*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 17(2014).
- [13] L.E. Azar, *The connection between Hilbert and Hardy inequalities*. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:452
- [14] Vandanjav Adiyasuren, Tserendorj Batbold and Mario Krnić, *Hilbert–Type Inequalities Involving Differential Operators, the Best Constants and Applications*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 18(2015)).

NIZAR K. AL-USHOUSH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AL-BALQA’ APPLIED UNI., P.O.BOX 19117 AL-SALT-JORDAN

E-mail address: aloushoush@bau.edu.jo.