

FIXED POINT RESULTS VIA Ψ -CONTRACTION IN C^* - ALGEBRA VALUED GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS

GUL RAHMAT, ARSHAD YOUSAF, MUHAMMAD SARWAR, MUHAMMAD SHOAB,
CEMIL TUNÇ

ABSTRACT. In this manuscript, using the concept of C^* -algebra valued generalized metric spaces, fixed point results for self mappings with contractive conditions related to a nondecreasing function $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi^m(s) = 0, \forall s \in (0, +\infty)$, are established. As an application, uniqueness and existence result for integral equation are also provided.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1947, Segal [2] initiate the word “ C^* -algebra” to explain a “uniformly closed, self adjoint algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space”. A C^* -algebra[3] is regularly use to describe a physical structure in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory and it has subsequently emerged as a significant area of research. As we have known that, the most important result in the theory of fixed point is the Banach contractive principle. The principle has been extensively used in various branches of physics and mathematics. There are numerous generalizations for such a principle. Generally, the principle has been divided in two ways. On one side, usual contraction conditions was changed by a weakly contraction. While on other side, different types of metric spaces replaced the action spaces[3, 4].

The first generalization in this direction is Edelstein’s contractive condition [5] in which the Banach condition is changed by picking different points from the space Z and allowing constant $\beta = 1$. Later, Rakotch[6] developed a contractive condition in which a monotonic decreasing function $\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ replaces the constant β of the contraction condition. That is,

$$d(S\eta, S\zeta) \leq \beta(t)d(\eta, \zeta), \quad \forall \eta, \zeta \in Z.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A07, 35Q53.

Key words and phrases. Fixed Point; C^* - Algebra Valued Generalized Metric Spaces.; Integral Equations.

©2017 Ilirias Research Institute, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted November 27, 2022. Published April 6, 2023.

Communicated by S. A. Mohiuddine.

Later on, it was generalized by Kannan[7], in which he has changed the contraction condition by

$$d(S\eta, S\zeta) \leq \beta\{d(\eta, S\eta) + d(\zeta, S\zeta)\}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Following Kannan, Chatterjea[8] established a fixed point theorem for operators that satisfy the given criteria $\forall \eta, \zeta \in Z$.

$$d(S\eta, S\zeta) \leq \beta\{d(\eta, S\zeta) + d(\zeta, S\eta)\}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Especially Mustafa in collaboration with Sims [9] established the idea of generalized metric space and proved various results of fixed point. Since then, many researchers studied the theory of fixed point in generalized metric spaces and numerous significant results are obtained [10, 11, 12, 13]. In 2014, Ma et al. [4] developed the idea of C^* -algebra valued metric spaces and presented several fixed point results by giving the structure of contraction mapping with reference to C^* -algebra, corresponding to the Banach contractive principle. Also they used expansive mappings and proved various fixed point results.

After that, Kamran et al. [21] extended the result of Ma et al.[4] to C^* -algebra valued b -metric space and they generalized the Banach contractive principle. For some interesting fixed point results, see, also, the papers of Humaira et al. [38] , Hussain et al. [39] , Shoaib et al. ([40, 41]) and Zada et al. [42] .

In this paper we used the idea of Shatanawi [1] and presented various fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra valued generalized metric spaces. Our result generalizes the result of Mustafa and Sims[9], Ma et al.[4] and Kamran et al.[21]. Now we summarize the necessary definitions and propositions that should be needed throughout the paper. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{M} will denote unital C^* -algebra and \mathbb{N} will denote natural number.

Definition 1.1. [4] An algebra \mathbb{M} over \mathbb{C} (complex number) is known as $*$ -algebra if there is an involution $*$: $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ satisfy the following axioms

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta + \zeta)^* &= \eta^* + \zeta^*, \\ (\alpha\eta)^* &= \bar{\alpha}\eta^*, \\ (\eta\zeta)^* &= \zeta^*\eta^*, \\ (\eta^*)^* &= \eta, \quad \text{for all } \eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{M}, \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2. [4] A Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathbb{M}, *)$ is said to be a C^* -algebra if

$$\|\eta\eta^*\| = \|\eta\|^2,$$

$\forall \eta \in \mathbb{M}$.

Example 1.1. [4] Suppose Z be a compact Hausdorff space and $C_o(Z)$ denote all continuous function. The involution map $*$: $C_o(Z) \rightarrow C_o(Z)$ is defined by

$$g^*(a) = \bar{g}(a), \quad \forall a \in Z.$$

Then $C_o(Z)$ is a C^* -algebra. Define norm on $C_o(Z)$ as

$$\|g(a)\| = \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)|.$$

This norm is submultiplicative. In fact

$$\begin{aligned}\|gf\| &= \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)f(a)| \\ &\leq \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)| \sup_{a \in Z} |f(a)| \\ &= \|g\| \|f\|.\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\|gg^*\| &= \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)g^*(a)| \\ &= \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)\bar{g}(a)|, \\ &= \sup_{a \in Z} |g(a)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_\circ(X)$ is a C^* -algebra.

Definition 1.3. [1] Suppose $Z \neq \emptyset$ and $G : Z^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a map, which satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &= 0, \text{ iff } \eta = \zeta = \theta, \\ G(\eta, \eta, \zeta) &> 0, \text{ with } \eta \neq \zeta, \\ G(\eta, \eta, \zeta) &\leq G(\eta, \zeta, \theta), \text{ with } \theta \neq \zeta, \\ G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &= G(\eta, \theta, \zeta) = G(\zeta, \theta, \eta) = \dots, \\ G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &\leq G(\eta, a, a) + G(a, \zeta, \theta), \quad \forall \eta, \zeta, \theta, a \in Z.\end{aligned}$$

Then Z together with a map G is known as generalized metric space.

Example 1.2. [1] Assume that (\mathbb{R}, d) be the usual metric space. Now a map G is defined by

$$G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) = d(\eta, \zeta) + d(\zeta, \theta) + d(\eta, \theta), \quad \forall \eta, \zeta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then clearly \mathbb{R} with a map G is a generalized metric space.

The next definition is taken from [36].

Definition 1.4. Suppose $Z \neq \emptyset$ and $G : Z^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(C^*\text{-algebra})$ is a map satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &= 0 \text{ iff } \eta = \zeta = \theta, \\ G(\eta, \eta, \zeta) &> 0 \text{ with } \eta \neq \zeta, \\ G(\eta, \eta, \zeta) &\leq G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) \text{ with } \zeta \neq \theta, \\ G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &= G(\zeta, \theta, \eta) = G(\eta, \theta, \zeta) = \dots, \\ G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) &\leq G(\eta, a, a) + G(a, \zeta, \theta), \quad \forall \eta, \zeta, \theta, a \in Z \quad (\text{rectangle inequality}).\end{aligned}$$

Then (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is known as C^* -algebra valued generalized metric space.

A “ C^* -algebra valued generalized metric space” is now referred to as a ‘ C^* -valued generalized metric space’.

Example 1.3. [36] Suppose $X = \{\eta, \zeta\}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ of 2×2 matrices is identified by $B(\mathbb{C}^2)$ be a C^* -algebra. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned}G(\eta, \eta, \eta) &= G(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta) = 0, \\ G(\eta, \eta, \zeta) &= I, \\ G(\eta, \zeta, \zeta) &= 2I,\end{aligned}$$

enlarge G to $Z \times Z \times Z$ by using symmetry. Then the triplet (Z, M_2, G) is a C^* -valued generalized metric space.

Example 1.4. [36] Suppose $Z = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{M} = M_3(\mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, set

$$G(\eta, \zeta, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} g(\eta, \zeta, \theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha g(\eta, \zeta, \theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta g(\eta, \zeta, \theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $g(\eta, \zeta, \theta) = |\eta - \zeta| + |\zeta - \theta| + |\theta - \eta|$. Then (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is a C^* -valued generalized metric space.

Definition 1.5. [36] A sequence $\{\eta_c\}$ in Z is known as G -convergent to $\eta \in Z$ with respect to (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) if

$$\lim_{c, d \rightarrow \infty} G(\eta, \eta_c, \eta_d) = 0.$$

That is, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\|G(\eta, \eta_c, \eta_d)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall c, d > k.$$

The next proposition is essentially contains in [36], but we will prove it for convenience.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) be a C^* -valued generalized metric space, $\{\eta_c\} \subseteq Z$, $\eta \in Z$. Then all are equivalent

$$\eta_c \rightarrow \eta \text{ as } c \rightarrow \infty \tag{1}$$

$$G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}$$

$$G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3}$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) if $\eta_c \xrightarrow{G} \eta$, that is, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, so that, $\forall c, d > k$, $\|G(\eta_c, \eta_d, \eta)\| < \epsilon$, especially $\|G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta)\| < \epsilon$.

Hence $G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\forall c > \mathbb{N}$, we have $G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta) < \epsilon/2$, when $c > \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta)\| &= \|G(\eta, \eta_c, \eta)\|, \\ &\leq \|G(\eta, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| + \|G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta)\| < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

that is $G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) if $G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$, then for $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\forall c > k_1, \|G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta)\| < \epsilon/2$, there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\forall d > k_2, \|G(\eta_d, \eta, \eta)\| < \epsilon/2$. Let $k = k_1 + k_2, \forall c, d > \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|G(\eta_c, \eta_d, \eta)\| \leq \|G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta)\| + \|G(\eta, \eta_d, \eta)\| < \epsilon,$$

i.e. $\eta_c \xrightarrow{G} \eta$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$. □

Definition 1.6. A sequence $\{\eta_c\}$ in (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is called G -Cauchy iff

$$\lim_{c, d, e \rightarrow \infty} G(\eta_c, \eta_d, \eta_e) = 0, \tag{4}$$

that is, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\|G(\eta_c, \eta_d, \eta_e)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall c, d, e > k.$$

The triplet (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is known as G -complete if all G -Cauchy $\{\eta_c\}$ in \mathbb{M} is G -convergent.

The above (Example 1.4) is complete. See the following proof.

Proof. For completeness, let $\{\eta_c\} \subseteq (Z, \mathbb{M}, G)$ be a G -Cauchy. Then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\|G(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| = \max \{g(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c), \alpha g(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c), \beta g(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c)\} < \epsilon.$$

So $g(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c) = 2|\eta_d - \eta_c| < \epsilon$. Since Z is complete, $\exists \eta \in Z$ so that $\eta_c \rightarrow \eta$. Then there exists $k_o \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $|\eta_c - \eta| < \epsilon/2$, for any $c > k_o$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(\eta_c, \eta, \eta)\| &= \max \{2|\eta - \eta_c|, 2\alpha|\eta - \eta_c|, 2\beta|\eta - \eta_c|\} \\ &= 2 \max \{1, \alpha, \beta\} |\eta - \eta_c| \\ &< \max \{1, \alpha, \beta\} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\eta_c \xrightarrow{G} \eta$ and (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is complete. \square

Proposition 1.2. *A sequence $\{\eta_c\}$ in (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is known as G -Cauchy iff $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\|G(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| < \epsilon, \forall c, d > k$.*

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|G(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| < \epsilon/2, \forall c, d > k_1; \exists k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|G(\eta_e, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| < \epsilon/2, \forall c, e > k_2$. So for the above ϵ , let $k = k_1 + k_2$, where $c, d, e > k$. Then,

$\|G(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_e)\| \leq \|G(\eta_d, \eta_c, \eta_c)\| + \|G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta_e)\| < \epsilon$, i.e. $\{\eta_c\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence. \square

Definition 1.7. Let the triplet (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) be a C^* -valued generalized metric space. A map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ is known as contractive mapping if $\exists A \in \mathbb{M}, \|A\| < 1$ such that

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\theta) \leq A^*G(\eta, \zeta, \theta)A, \quad \text{for all } \eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z.$$

2. Fixed Point Results via Ψ -Contraction

Further we suppose that let Ψ be the set of all functions ψ such that $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a nondecreasing map with $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^m(s) = 0, \forall s \in (0, +\infty)$. A ψ is said to be a Ψ -map if $\psi \in \Psi$. If ψ is Ψ -map, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) &< s \quad \forall s \in (0, +\infty), \\ \psi(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is a complete C^* -valued generalized metric space. Let the map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies*

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\theta) \leq \psi^*(G(\eta, \zeta, \theta))\psi,$$

$\forall \eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z$. Then there exists a unique $y \in Z$ such that $Sy = y$.

Proof. Choose one arbitrary point $\eta_o \in Z$ and $\eta_c = S\eta_{c-1}, c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= S\eta_o, \\ \eta_2 &= S\eta_1 = S^2\eta_o, \\ \eta_3 &= S\eta_2 = S^3\eta_o, \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_c &= S\eta_{c-1} = S^c\eta_o. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &= G(S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_c, S\eta_c) \\
 &\leq \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c))\psi = \psi^*(G(S\eta_{c-2}, S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}))\psi \\
 &\leq \psi^* \cdot \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}))\psi \cdot \psi = (\psi^*)^2(G(\eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}))\psi^2 \\
 &\leq (\psi^*)^2(G(\eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}))\psi^2 \\
 &\leq (\psi^*)^3(G(\eta_{c-3}, \eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-2}))\psi^3 \\
 &\vdots \\
 G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &\leq (\psi^*)^c(G(\eta_o, \eta_1, \eta_1))\psi^c.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} (\psi^*)^c(G(\eta_o, \eta_1, \eta_1))\psi^c = 0,$$

and $\psi(\epsilon) < \epsilon$, $\exists q_o$, so that

$$(\psi^*)^c(G(\eta_o, \eta_1, \eta_1))\psi^c < \epsilon - \psi(\epsilon), \quad \forall c \geq q_o.$$

Thus

$$G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) < \epsilon - \psi(\epsilon). \quad (5)$$

Now, for any two positive integer c, d and $d > c$, then

$$G(\eta_c, \eta_d, \eta_d) < \epsilon, \quad \forall d \geq c \geq q_o. \quad (6)$$

We prove (6) by induction. Inequality (6) holds for $d = c + 1$ by using (5) and $\epsilon - \psi(\epsilon) < \epsilon$. Suppose that (6) holds for $d = q$. Now we check for $d = q + 1$. By rectangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\eta_c, \eta_{q+1}, \eta_{q+1}) &\leq G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + G(\eta_{c+1}, \eta_{q+1}, \eta_{q+1}) \\
 &= G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + G(S\eta_c, S\eta_q, S\eta_q) \\
 &\leq G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^*(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))\psi \\
 &= G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^*\{(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))^{1/2}(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))^{1/2}\}\psi \\
 &= G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + \{\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))^{1/2}\}^* \{\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))^{1/2}\} \\
 &= G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + |\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q))^{1/2}|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q)|$ is always positive, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\eta_c, \eta_{q+1}, \eta_{q+1}) &= G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^2(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q)) \\
 &< \epsilon - \psi(\epsilon) + \psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_q, \eta_q)) \\
 &< \epsilon - \psi(\epsilon) + \psi(\epsilon) = \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that (6) holds $\forall d \geq c \geq q_o$. It means that $\{\eta_c\}$ is a G -Cauchy in respect of \mathbb{M} . As (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) is complete, then $\exists y \in Z$ so that $\eta_c \rightarrow y$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

For existence of fixed point we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(y, y, Sy) &\leq G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + G(\eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}, Sy) \\
&= G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + G(S\eta_c, S\eta_c, Sy) \\
&\leq G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^*(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))\psi \\
&= G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^*\{(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))^{1/2}(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))^{1/2}\}\psi \\
&= G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + \{\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))^{1/2}\}^*\{\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))^{1/2}\} \\
&= G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + |\psi(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y))^{1/2}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y)|$ is always positive, then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(y, y, Sy) &= G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + \psi^2(G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y)), \\
&< G(y, y, \eta_{c+1}) + G(\eta_c, \eta_c, y), \\
&\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } c \longrightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $G(y, y, Sy) = 0$ and this shows that $Sy = y$.

Now for the uniqueness, suppose z be other fixed point of S , then $y \neq z$. As ψ is a ψ -map, then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(y, y, z) &= G(Sy, Sy, Sz) \\
&\leq \psi^*(G(y, y, z))\psi \\
&= \{\psi(G(y, y, z))^{1/2}\}^*\{\psi(G(y, y, z))^{1/2}\} \\
&= |\psi(G(y, y, z))^{1/2}|^2 \\
&= \psi^2(G(y, y, z)) \\
&< G(y, y, z),
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. It shows that S has only one fixed point. \square

The statement of Theorem 2.1 has been applied to a specific context, and as a consequence, the following results have been derived.

Corollary 2.1. *A map $S : Z \longrightarrow Z$ satisfies the following condition on a complete (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) :*

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\zeta) \leq \psi^*(G(\eta, \zeta, \zeta))\psi,$$

for all $\eta, \zeta \in Z$. Then \exists a unique $z_o \in Z$ such that $Sz_o = z_o$.

Proof. Same as Theorem 2.1, by substituting $\theta = \zeta$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *A map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the following condition on a complete (Z, \mathbb{M}, G)*

$$G(S^m\eta, S^m\zeta, S^m\theta) \leq \psi^*(G(\eta, \zeta, \theta))\psi,$$

$\forall \eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \exists a unique $p \in Z$ such that $Sp = p$.

Proof. We derived from Theorem 2.1 that S^m has only one p such that $Sp = p$. Since we have

$$S(p) = S(S^m p) = S^{m+1}p = S^m(Sp),$$

it shows that Sp is a fixed point of S^m . By uniqueness, we get $Sp = p$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) be a complete C^* -valued generalized metric space. Let the map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies*

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\theta) \leq \psi^*(\max \{G(\eta, \zeta, \theta), G(\eta, S\eta, S\eta), G(\zeta, S\zeta, S\zeta), G(S\eta, \zeta, \theta)\})\psi$$

for all $\eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z$. Then \exists a unique $q \in Z$ such that $Sq = q$.

Proof. Let we take one arbitrary point $\eta_o \in Z$ and define a sequence $\{\eta_c\}$ by taking $\eta_c = S\eta_{c-1}$, $c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= S\eta_o, \\ \eta_2 &= S\eta_1 = S^2\eta_o, \\ \eta_3 &= S\eta_2 = S^3\eta_o, \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_c &= S\eta_{c-1} = S^c\eta_o. \end{aligned}$$

From given contraction we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &= G(S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_c, S\eta_c) \\ &\leq \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}), G(\eta_c, \eta_c, \eta_c)\})\psi \\ &\leq \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1})\})\psi. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1})\} = G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &\leq \psi^*(G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}))\psi \\ &< G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction. Therefore

$$\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1})\} = G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c),$$

then

$$G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) \leq \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c))\psi.$$

For $c \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &= G(S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_c, S\eta_c) \\ &\leq \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c))\psi \\ &\leq (\psi^*)^2(G(\eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}))\psi^2 \\ &\leq (\psi^*)^3(G(\eta_{c-3}, \eta_{c-2}, \eta_{c-2}))\psi^3 \\ &\vdots \\ G(\eta_c, \eta_{c+1}, \eta_{c+1}) &\leq (\psi^*)^c(G(\eta_o, \eta_1, \eta_1))\psi^c. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument can be found in Theorem 2.1, and it is easy to find that $\{\eta_c\}$ is a G -Cauchy in Z . As Z is complete, then $\{\eta_c\}$ is G -convergent to some $q \in Z$.

For existence of fixed point we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(q, q, Sq) &\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(\eta_c, \eta_c, Sq) \\
&\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}, Sq) \\
&\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}), G(\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}, S\eta_{c-1}) \\
&\quad G(S\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q)\})\psi \\
&\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q)\})\psi \\
&\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q)\})\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If

$$\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q)\} = G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(q, q, Sq) &\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c))\psi \\
&< G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $c \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $G(q, q, Sq) = 0$, and thus $Sq = q$.

Case 2. If

$$\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q)\} = G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(q, q, Sq) &\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q))\psi \\
&< G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $c \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $G(q, q, Sq) = 0$, and thus $Sq = q$.

Case 3. If

$$\max\{G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q), G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_c, \eta_c), G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q)\} = G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(q, q, Sq) &\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + \psi^*(G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q))\psi \\
&< G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, q) \\
&\leq G(q, q, \eta_c) + G(\eta_c, \eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}) + G(\eta_{c-1}, \eta_{c-1}, q).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $c \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $G(q, q, Sq) = 0$, and thus $Sq = q$. In all three cases we deduced that $Sq = q$. Suppose r be other fixed point of S , then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(q, r, r) &= G(Sq, Sr, Sr), \\
&\leq \psi^*(\max\{G(q, r, r), G(q, Sq, Sq), G(r, Sr, Sr), G(Sq, r, r)\})\psi \\
&\leq \psi^*(\max\{G(q, r, r), G(q, q, q), G(r, r, r), G(q, r, r)\})\psi \\
&= \psi^*(G(q, r, r))\psi \\
&< G(q, r, r),
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. This shows that S has only one fixed point. \square

The statement of Theorem 2.2 has been applied to a specific context, and as a consequence, the following results have been derived.

Corollary 2.3. *A map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the following condition on a complete (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) :*

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\theta) \leq k \max\{G(\eta, \zeta, \theta), G(\eta, S\eta, S\eta), G(\zeta, S\zeta, S\zeta), G(S\eta, \zeta, \theta)\}$$

$\forall \eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z$ and $k \in [0, 1)$. Then \exists a unique $p \in Z$ such that $Sp = p$.

Proof. Define $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $\psi(\sigma) = k\sigma$. Then clearly ψ is a nondecreasing map so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^n(t) = 0, \forall t > 0$. Then,

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\theta) \leq \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta, \zeta, \theta), G(\eta, S\eta, S\eta), G(\zeta, S\zeta, S\zeta), G(S\eta, \zeta, \theta)\})\psi$$

for all $\eta, \zeta, \theta \in Z$. The proof for the statement being discussed is identical to the proof provided for Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.4. *A map $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies the following condition on a complete (Z, \mathbb{M}, G) :*

$$G(S\eta, S\zeta, S\zeta) \leq \psi^*(\max\{G(\eta, \zeta, \zeta), G(\eta, S\eta, S\eta), G(\zeta, S\zeta, S\zeta), G(S\eta, \zeta, \zeta)\})\psi$$

for all $\eta, \zeta \in Z$. Then \exists a unique $p \in Z$ such that $Sp = p$.

Proof. Theorem 2.2 provides proof, by substituting $\theta = \zeta$. \square

3. Application to integral equation

As we know that integral equations have a lot of applications in applied sciences (see, for example, Bohner et al. [37]). In this part, we derive sufficient conditions for the solutions of integral equation.

Let $W = L^\infty(E)$ and $H = L^2(E)$, where E is Lebesgue measurable set. By $L(H)$ we denote the set of bounded linear operators on Hilbert space H . Clearly $L(H)$ is a C^* - algebra with the usual operator norm. Define $G : W \times W \times W \rightarrow L(H)$, by

$$G(f, g, h) = \pi_{|f-g|} + \pi_{|g-h|} + \pi_{|h-f|} \quad (f, g, h \in W), \quad (7)$$

where $\pi_h : H \rightarrow H$ is the multiplication operator defined by

$$\pi_h(\varphi) = h \cdot \varphi, \quad (8)$$

for $\varphi \in H$. Then G is a C^* - algebra-valued generalized metric and $(W, L(H), G)$ is a complete C^* - algebra-valued generalized metric space. Consider the integral equation

$$\eta(t) = g(t) + \int_E Q(t, s, \eta(s)) ds, t \in E, \quad (9)$$

here $Q : E \times E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $g \in L^\infty(E)$. Define $T : W \rightarrow W$ by

$$T\eta(t) = g(t) + \int_E Q(t, s, \eta(s)) ds, t \in E.$$

Theorem 3.1. *We suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(A₁) *there exists a continuous function $\varphi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$|Q(t, s, u) - Q(t, s, v)| \leq k|\varphi(t, s)(u - v)|$$

for $t, s \in E$ and $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$,

(A₂) $\sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \leq 1$.

Then the integral equation has a unique solution x^ in $L^\infty(E)$.*

Proof. Set $M = kI$, then $M \in L(H)$ and $\|M\| = k < 1$. For any $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|d(T\eta, T\zeta)\| + \|d(T\zeta, T\theta)\| + \|d(T\theta, T\eta)\| \\
&= \sup_{\|h\|=1} (\pi_{|T\eta-T\zeta|}, h) + \sup_{\|h\|=1} (\pi_{|T\zeta-T\theta|}, h) + \sup_{\|h\|=1} (\pi_{|T\theta-T\eta|}, h) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E (Q(t, s, \eta(s)) - Q(t, s, \zeta(s))) ds \right] h(t) \overline{h(t)} dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E (Q(t, s, \zeta(s)) - Q(t, s, \theta(s))) ds \right] h(t) \overline{h(t)} dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E (Q(t, s, \theta(s)) - Q(t, s, \eta(s))) ds \right] h(t) \overline{h(t)} dt \\
&\leq \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |Q(t, s, \eta(s)) - Q(t, s, \zeta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |Q(t, s, \zeta(s)) - Q(t, s, \theta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |Q(t, s, \theta(s)) - Q(t, s, \eta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |k\varphi(t, s)(\eta(s) - \zeta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |k\varphi(t, s)(\zeta(s) - \theta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&+ \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |k\varphi(t, s)(\theta(s) - \eta(s))| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq k \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\eta - \zeta\|_\infty \\
&+ k \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\zeta - \theta\|_\infty \\
&+ k \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E \left[\int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \right] |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\theta - \eta\|_\infty \\
&\leq k \sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \cdot \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\eta - \zeta\|_\infty \\
&+ k \sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \cdot \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\eta - \zeta\|_\infty \\
&+ k \sup_{t \in E} \int_E |\varphi(t, s)| ds \cdot \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_E |h(t)|^2 dt \cdot \|\eta - \zeta\|_\infty \\
&\leq k \|\eta - \zeta\|_\infty + k \|\zeta - \theta\|_\infty + k \|\theta - \eta\|_\infty, \\
&\leq \|M\| \|d(\eta, \zeta)\|_\infty + \|M\| \|d(\zeta, \theta)\|_\infty + \|M\| \|d(\theta, \eta)\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

but $\|M\| < 1$, by taking $\psi(t) = kt$, from Theorem 2.1 the integral equation has a unique solution η^* in $L^\infty(E)$. \square

Author Contributions: All authors have equally contributed to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding : Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that there have no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shatanawi, W. Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ -maps in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2010**, 2010, 1-9.
- [2] Segal, I. E. Irreducible representations of operator algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1947, *53*(2), 73-88.
- [3] Murphy, G. J. C^* -algebras and operator theory, *Academic Press*, London, **1990**.
- [4] Ma, Z.; Jiang, L.; Sun, H. C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014**, 2014, 1-11.
- [5] Edelstein, M. On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **1962**, *1*(1), 74-79.
- [6] Rakotch, E. A note on contractive mappings, *Proc Am Math Soc.*, **1962**, *13*(3), 459-465.
- [7] Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **1968**, *60*, 71-76.
- [8] Chatterjea, S. Fixed-point theorems, *Dokladi na Bolgarskata Akademiya na Naukite*, **1972**, *25*(6), 727-730.
- [9] Mustafa, Z.; Sims, B. A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **2006**, *7*(2), 289-297.
- [10] Mlaiki, N.; Asim, M.; Imdad, M. C^* -algebra-valued partial b -metric spaces and fixed point results with an application, *Math.*, **2020**, *8*(8), 1-11.
- [11] Caballero, J.; Harjani, J.; Sadarangani, K. Contractive like mapping principles in ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2010**, 2010, 1-14.
- [12] Gaung, L. H.; Xian, Z. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2007**, *332*(2), 1468-1476.
- [13] Abbas, M.; Jungck, G. Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2008**, *341*(1), 416-420.
- [14] Agarwal, R. P.; Karapnar, E. Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**, 2013(1), 1-33.
- [15] Aydi, H., Postolache, M.; Shatanawi, W. Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, **2012**, *63*(1), 298-309.
- [16] Aydi, H.; Shatanawi, W.; Vetro, C. On generalized weakly G -contraction mapping in G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, **2011**, *62*(11), 4222-4229.
- [17] Asadi, M.; Karapnar, E.; Salimi, P. A new approach to G -metric and related fixed point theorems, *J. Inequal Appl.*, **2013**, 2013(1), 1-14.
- [18] Altun, I.; Damjanovic, B.; Djoric, D. Fixed point and common fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **2010**, *23*(3), 310-316.
- [19] Kamran, T.; Samreen, M.; UL Ain, Q. A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Math.*, **2017**, *5*(2), 1-7.
- [20] Gaba, Y. U. Fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2017**, *455*(1), 528-537.
- [21] Kamran, T.; Postolache, M.; Ghiura, A.; Batul, S.; Ali, R. The Banach contraction principle in C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces with application, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2016**, 2016(1), 1-7.
- [22] Cao, T; Xin, Q. Some coupled fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces, *Operator Algebras*, **2016**, 2016, 1-12.
- [23] Bai, C. Coupled fixed point theorems in C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces with application, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2016**, 2016(1) 1-12.
- [24] Rouzkard, F. Common fixed point theorems for two pairs of self-mappings in complex valued metric spaces, *Eurasian Math. J.*, **2019**, *10*(2), 75-83.

- [25] Kutbi, M. A.; Karapnar, E.; Ahmad, J.; Azam, A. Some fixed point results for multivalued mappings in b -metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2014**, 2014(1), 1-11.
- [26] Doan, H. A new type of Kannan's fixed point theorem in strong b -metric spaces, *AIMS Math.*, **2021**, 6(7), 7895-7908.
- [27] Mustafa, Z.; Obiedat, H.; Awawdeh, F. Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2008**, 2008, 1-12.
- [28] Mustafa, Z.; Sims, B. Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2009**, 2009, 1-10.
- [29] Karapnar, E.; Agarwal, R. P. Further fixed point results on G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**, 2013(1), 1-14.
- [30] Shah, R.; Zada, A. Fixed Point Theorems for Expansive Mappings in G -metric Spaces, *TJANT.*, **2017**, 5(2), 57-62.
- [31] Mohanta, S. K.; Mohanta, S. Some fixed point results for mappings in G -metric spaces, *Demonstr. Math.*, **2014**, 47(1), 179-191.
- [32] Radha; Singh, B. Application to medical science using G -metric spaces, *Eur. J. Mol. Clin. Med.*, **2021**, 8(03), 1-14.
- [33] Mustafa, Z.; Obiedat, H.; Awawdeh, F. Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2008**, 2008 1-12.
- [34] Tomar, A.; Joshi, M. Note on Results in C^* Algebra-Valued Metric Spaces, *EJMAA.*, **2021**, 9(2), 262-264.
- [35] Ma, Z.; Jiang, L. C^* -algebra-valued b -metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015**, 2015(1), 1-12.
- [36] Shen, C.; Jiang, L.; Ma, Z. C^* -Algebra-Valued G -Metric Spaces and Related Fixed-Point Theorems, *J. Funct. Spaces*, **2018**, 2018, 1-8.
- [37] M. Bohner, O. Tunç, C. Tunç, Qualitative analysis of Caputo fractional integro-differential equations with constant delays. *Comput. Appl. Math.* 40 (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 214, 17 pp.
- [38] H. Humaira, M. Sarwar, C. Tunç, Fuzzy fixed point results via rational type contractions involving control functions in complex-valued metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 12 (2018), no. 4, 861875.
- [39] S. Hussain, M. Sarwar, C. Tunç, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued generalized contraction in b -metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal.* 9 (2018), no. 2, 158166.
- [40] M. Shoaib, M. Sarwar, C. Tunç, Hybrid fixed point results via E.A and tangential properties in metric spaces. *Honam Math. J.* 40 (2018), no. 4, 719732.
- [41] M. Shoaib, M. Sarwar, C. Tunç, Coupled fixed point theorem for multi-valued mapping via generalized contraction in partially ordered metric spaces with applications. *J. Math. Anal.* 8 (2017), no. 5, 2739.
- [42] M. B. Zada, M. Sarwar, C. Tunç, Fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces and their applications to non-linear fractional differential and integral equations. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 20 (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 25, 19 pp.

GUL RAHMAT

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISLAMIA COLLEGE PESHAWAR, PESHAWAR, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: gulrahmat@icp.edu.pk

ARSHAD YOUSAF

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISLAMIA COLLEGE PESHAWAR, PESHAWAR, PAKISTAN

MUHAMMAD SARWAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALAKAND, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: sarwarwati@gmail.com

MUHAMMAD SHOAIB

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALAKAND, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: shoaibkhanbs@yahoo.com

CEMIL TUNÇ

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VAN YUZUNCU YIL UNIVERSITY, CAMPUS, 65080, VAN-TURKEY

E-mail address: cemtunc@yahoo.com