

COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN A_b -METRIC SPACES SATISFYING RATIONAL INEQUALITY

YUMNAM ROHEN, NINGTHOUJAM PRIYOBARTA, NABIL MLAIKI, NIZAR SOUAYAH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for self mapping that satisfies a new type of rational contraction in the n -dimensions A_b -metric spaces, which was introduced by Manoj et. al. [4].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

First we would like to give the reader a brief on some of the history of different metric spaces. Different generalizations of metric spaces was given, for instance, In the year 1989, I.A. Bhaktin [1] introduced of b -metric space in which the triangle inequality of the ordinary metric spaces is replaced by a more general form. Also, Sedghi et. al. [2] introduced another generalized concept of metric spaces and he called it S -metric spaces. Next, the notion of S_b -metric and partial S_b -metric were introduced see [6], [7]. Recently, Abbas et. al. in [3] introduce A -metric spaces, which an n -dimensions metric spaces. For more results on other generalized metric spaces we refer the reader to the following references [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]

Notation: Throughout this paper, n is a fixed positive integer that denote the dimension of the space.

Definition 1.1. [3] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $A : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an A -metric on X if for any $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the following conditions hold:

- (A1) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$,
- (A2) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 55M20, 37E10.

Key words and phrases. A_b -metric spaces; Coupled fixed point theorems.

©2018 Ilirias Research Institute, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted September 10, 2017. Published February 26, 2018.

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh.

(A3)

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq [A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_3, x_3, x_3, \dots, (x_3)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)].
\end{aligned}$$

The pair (X, A) is called an A -metric space.

Definition 1.2. [1] Let X be a nonempty set. A b -metric on X is a function $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ if there exists a real number $b \geq 1$ such that the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq b[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$

The pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Inspired by Abbas et. al. [3] and Bakhtin [1], Manoj et. al. [4] introduced a generalized form of n -tuple metric spaces, which they named it A_b -metric space and defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. [4] Let X be a nonempty set and $b \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $A : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an A_b -metric on X if for any $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the following conditions hold:

- (A_b1) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$,
- (A_b2) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$,
- (A_b3)

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq b[A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_3, x_3, x_3, \dots, (x_3)_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\
&\quad + A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)].
\end{aligned}$$

The pair (X, A) is called an A_b -metric space.

Definition 1.4. An A_b -metric space is said to be symmetric if $A(x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, x_2) = A(x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, x_1)$.

Remark: Note that A -metric spaces is a special case of A_b -metric space and that is when $b = 1$. Also, b -metric spaces and S_b -metric spaces are special cases of A_b -metric spaces with $n = 2$ and 3 respectively. Ordinary metric spaces and S -metric space are also special cases of A_b -metric space with $b = 1$ and respective values of n as 2 and 3 .

Example 1.5. [4] Let $X = [1, +\infty)$. Define $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ by

$$A_b(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2$$

for all $x_i \in X, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric space with $s = 2 > 1$

Example 1.6. [4] Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Define $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_b(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \left| \sum_{i=n}^2 x_i - (n-1)x_1 \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{i=n}^3 x_i - (n-2)x_2 \right|^2 + \dots \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i=n}^{n-3} x_i - 3x_{n-3} \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{i=n}^{n-2} x_i - 2x_{n-2} \right|^2 \\ &+ |x_n - x_{n-1}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in X, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric space with $s = 2 > 1$

Example 1.7. [5] Let $X = [1, \infty)$. Define a function $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $A_b(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = |x_1 - \max\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}|^2$ For all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric on X with $b = 2$, and it not difficult to see that (X, A_b) is not an A -metric space on X .

Example 1.8. [5] Let $X = l^p$ with $0 < p < 1$, where $l^p = \{\{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i=1}^k \lim |x_i|^p <$

$\infty\}$. Let $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $A_b(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim |x_1 - \max\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}|^p\right)^{1/p}$,

where $x_1 = \{x_{1_k}\} \in l^p, x_i = \{x_{i_k}\} \in l^p, i = 2, \dots, n$. Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric on X , with constant $b = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$

Lemma 1.9. [4] Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space. Then $A(x, x, x, \dots, x, y) \leq bA(y, y, y, \dots, y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.10. [5] Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space. Then for all $x, y, z \in X$ we have $A(x, x, x, \dots, x, z) \leq (n-1)bA(x, x, x, \dots, x, y) + b^2A(y, y, y, \dots, y, z)$.

Definition 1.11. The A_b -metric space (X, A) is said to be bounded if there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that $A(x, x, x, \dots, x, y) \leq r$ for all $x, y \in X$. Otherwise, X is unbounded.

Definition 1.12. [5] Given a point x_0 in A_b -metric space (X, A) and a positive real number r , the set $B(x_0, r) = \{y \in X : A(y, y, y, \dots, y, x_0) < r\}$ is called an open ball centered at x_0 with radius r .

The set $\overline{B}(x_0, r) = \{y \in X : A(y, y, y, \dots, y, x_0) \leq r\}$ is called a closed ball centered at x_0 with radius r .

Definition 1.13. [5] A subset G in A_b -metric space (X, A) is said to be an open set if for each $x \in G$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B(x, r) \subset G$. A subset $F \subset X$ is called closed if $X \setminus F$ is open.

Lemma 1.14. [5] *In any A_b -metric space (X, A) , each open ball is an open set in X and each closed ball is also a closed set in X .*

Theorem 1.15. [5] *Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space, then:*

- (i) *An arbitrary union and finite intersection of open balls $B(x, r) \in X$ is open.*
- (ii) *An arbitrary intersection and finite union of closed balls $B(x, r) \in X$ is closed.*

Theorem 1.16. [5] *The collection $T = \{B(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0\}$ of all balls in A_b -metric space (X, A) is a basis for a topology τ on X .*

Definition 1.17. [5] Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space. A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X is said to converge to a point $x \in X$. If $A(x_k, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

That is, for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq N$ we have $A(x_k, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k, x) \leq \varepsilon$ and we write $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$.

Lemma 1.18. [5] *Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space. If the sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X converges to a point x , then x is unique.*

Definition 1.19. [5] Let (X, A) be A_b -metric space. A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $A(x_k, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $k, m \rightarrow \infty$.

That is, for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $A(x_k, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k, x_m) \leq \varepsilon$ and we write $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$.

Lemma 1.20. [5] *Every convergent sequence in A_b -metric space is a Cauchy sequence.*

Remark 1.21. [5] The converse of Lemma 1.17 does not hold in general. A Cauchy sequence in an A_b -metric space does not need to be convergent.

Definition 1.22. [5] The A_b -metric space (X, A) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 1.23. [5] Let (X, A_X) and (Z, A_Z) be A_b -metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is continuous at a point $x_0 \in X$. If $f^{-1}(G)$ is open in X , for each open set G in Z . The function f is continuous on X if it is continuous at each points of X .

Theorem 1.24. [5] *Let (X, A_X) and (Z, A_Z) be A_b -metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is continuous at a point $x_0 \in X$ iff it is sequentially continuous at x_0 .*

N. Mlaiki and Y. Rohen [5] proved some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered A_b -metric spaces.

In 1987, Guo and Lakshmikantham [13] introduced the idea of coupled fixed point.

Following definition was given by Guo and Lakshmikantham.

Definition 1.25. [15] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $S : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if

$$S(x, y) = x, \text{ and } S(y, x) = y.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove some coupled fixed point theorems in A_b -metric space.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, A) be a complete symmetric A_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(u, v)) \leq & a_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)}{2} \\
 & + a_2 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(u, v))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\
 & + a_3 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(u, v))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\
 & + a_4 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\
 & + a_5 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\
 & + a_6 \frac{A(u, \dots, u, g(u, v))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\
 & + a_7 \frac{A(u, \dots, u, g(u, v))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_7 < 1$ and $b < \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_6-a_7}{a_1+a_4+a_5}$. Then f and g have a common coupled fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be arbitrary points. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) & , & & y_{2k+1} &= f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\
 x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) & , & & y_{2k+2} &= g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = A(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), \dots, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
\leq & a_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + a_2 \frac{A(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), \dots, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_3 \frac{A(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), \dots, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_4 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_5 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_6 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_7 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
= & a_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + a_2 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_3 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_4 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_5 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_6 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
& + a_7 \frac{A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
\leq & a_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
& + (a_2 + a_3)A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + (a_4 + a_5)A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + (a_6 + a_7)A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
\Rightarrow & (1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7)A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5\right)A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + \frac{a_1}{2}A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
\Rightarrow & A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
& + \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proceeding similarly one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) &\leq \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\ &+ \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Adding (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\ &\leq \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} [A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\ \Rightarrow &A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\ &\leq h[A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

where $h = \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7} < 1$.

Also, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &A(x_{2k+2}, \dots, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) + A(y_{2k+2}, \dots, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\ &\leq h[A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})] \\ &\leq h^2[A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}) \\ &\leq h[A(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i) + A(y_{i-1}, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i)] \\ &\leq h^2[A(x_{i-2}, \dots, x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}) + A(y_{i-2}, \dots, y_{i-2}, y_{i-1})] \\ &\leq \dots \leq h^i[A(x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1) + A(y_0, \dots, y_0, y_1)] \end{aligned}$$

If $A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}) = A_i$, then

$$A_i \leq hA_{i-1} \leq h^2A_{i-2} \leq \dots \leq h^iA_0.$$

Now, we have to prove that $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are two Cauchy sequences in A_b -metric space (X, A) .

For all $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_m) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_m) \\
\leq & b[(n-1)A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_m) \\
& + (n-1)A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_m)] \\
= & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
& + b[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_m) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_m)] \\
\leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
& + b^2[(n-1)A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+2}, x_m) \\
& + (n-1)A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+2}, \dots, y_{i+2}, y_m)] \\
= & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
& + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
& + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+2}, x_m) + A(y_{i+2}, \dots, y_{i+2}, y_m)] \\
\leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
& + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
& + \dots + (n-1)b^{m-i-1}[A(x_{m-2}, \dots, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) \\
& + A(y_{m-2}, \dots, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
& + b^{m-i}[A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) + A(y_{m-1}, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
\leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
& + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
& + (n-1)b^3[A(x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}) + A(y_{i+2}, \dots, y_{i+2}, y_{i+3})] \\
& + \dots + (n-1)b^{m-i-1}[A(x_{m-2}, \dots, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) \\
& + A(y_{m-2}, \dots, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
& + (n-1)b^{m-i}[A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) + A(y_{m-1}, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
\leq & (n-1)\{bh^i + b^2h^{i+1} + b^3h^{i+2} + \dots + b^{m-i}h^{m-1}\}A_0 \\
< & (n-1)bh^i[1 + bh + (bh)^2 + \dots]A_0 \\
= & \frac{(n-1)bh^i}{1-bh}A_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . As X is complete A_b -metric space, so there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $y_i \rightarrow y$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will prove that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. On the contrary suppose that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$. Then $A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and

$A(y, \dots, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$. Using inequality (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_1 &= A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) \\
 &\leq b[(n-1)A(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, f(x, y))] \\
 &= b[(n-1)A(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + A(f(x_i, y_i), \dots, f(x_i, y_i), f(x, y))] \\
 &\leq (n-1)bA(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + b \left[a_1 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{A(f(x_i, y_i), \dots, f(x_i, y_i), f(x, y))A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{A(f(x_i, y_i), \dots, f(x_i, y_i), f(x, y))A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, f(x_i, y_i))A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, f(x_i, y_i))A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \\
 &\quad \left. + a_7 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)}{1 + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are convergent to x and y , therefore by taking limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ we get $l_1 \leq 0$, which is a contradiction, so $A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) = 0$ which gives $x = f(x, y)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point, if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x, \dots, x, p) &= A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(p, q)) \\
&\leq a_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)}{2} \\
&\quad + a_2 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(p, q))A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_3 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(p, q))A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_4 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_5 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_6 \frac{A(p, \dots, p, g(p, q))A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_7 \frac{A(p, \dots, p, g(p, q))A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&= a_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)}{2} \\
&\quad + a_2 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, p)A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_3 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, p)A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_4 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, x)A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_5 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, x)A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_6 \frac{A(p, \dots, p, p)A(x, \dots, x, p)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\quad + a_7 \frac{A(p, \dots, p, p)A(y, \dots, y, q)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)} \\
&\Rightarrow A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq a_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)}{2} \\
&\quad + a_2 A(x, \dots, x, p) + a_3 A(x, \dots, x, p) \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - a_2 - a_3)A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{a_1}{2} A(x, \dots, x, p) + \frac{a_1}{2} A(y, \dots, y, q) \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{a_1}{2} - a_2 - a_3)A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{a_1}{2} A(y, \dots, y, q) \\
&\Rightarrow A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} A(y, \dots, y, q) \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$A(y, \dots, y, q) \leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} A(x, \dots, x, p) \tag{5}$$

Adding (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q) &\leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] \\ \Rightarrow [1 - \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}] [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] &\leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$, $\frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} > 0$.

Hence $A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q) = 0$, which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q \Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$.

Thus f and g have unique coupled common fixed point. This complete the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, A) be a complete symmetric A_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), f(u, v)) &\leq a_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ a_2 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), f(u, v))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\ &+ a_3 \frac{A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), f(u, v))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\ &+ a_4 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\ &+ a_5 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\ &+ a_6 \frac{A(u, \dots, u, f(u, v))A(x, \dots, x, u)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \\ &+ a_7 \frac{A(u, \dots, u, f(u, v))A(y, \dots, y, v)}{1 + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_7 < 1$ and $s < \frac{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}$. Then f has a unique coupled fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, A) be a complete symmetric A_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(u, v)) &\leq \beta_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(u, \dots, u, g(u, v))}{1 + b[A(x, \dots, x, g(x, y)) + A(u, \dots, u, f(u, v)) + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)]} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$ and $b < \frac{1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1}$. Then f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be arbitrary points. Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) & , & & y_{2k+1} &= f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\ x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) & , & & y_{2k+2} &= g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
&= A(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), \dots, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\leq \beta_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))}{1 + b[A(x_{2k}, \dots, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) + A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k+1})]} \\
&= \beta_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + b[A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k+2}) + A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) + A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]} \\
&\leq \beta_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} + \beta_2 A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
\Rightarrow (1 - \beta_2)A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \beta_1 \frac{A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
\Rightarrow A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)} [A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)} [A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \tag{8}$$

Adding (7) and (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &+ A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_1}{(1 - \beta_2)} [A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\
&= h[A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

where $h = \frac{\beta_1}{(1 - \beta_2)}$.

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_{2k+2}, \dots, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) &+ A(y_{2k+2}, \dots, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\
&\leq h[A(x_{2k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + A(y_{2k+1}, \dots, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})] \\
&\leq h^2[A(x_{2k}, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + A(y_{2k}, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}) = A_i$ then

$$A_i \leq hA_{i-1} \leq h^2A_{i-2} \leq \dots \leq h^i A_0$$

So, for $m > i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_m) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_m) \\
 \leq & b[(n-1)A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_m) \\
 & + (n-1)A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_m)] \\
 = & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
 & + b[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_m) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_m)] \\
 \leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
 & + b^2[(n-1)A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+2}, x_m) \\
 & + (n-1)A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+2}, \dots, y_{i+2}, y_m)] \\
 = & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
 & + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
 & + b[A(x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+2}, x_m) + A(y_{i+2}, \dots, y_{i+2}, y_m)] \\
 \leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
 & + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
 & + \dots + (n-1)b^{m-i-1}[A(x_{m-2}, \dots, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) \\
 & + A(y_{m-2}, \dots, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 & + b^{m-i}[A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) + A(y_{m-1}, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 \leq & (n-1)b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})] \\
 & + (n-1)b^2[A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + A(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2})] \\
 & + \dots + (n-1)b^{m-i-1}[A(x_{m-2}, \dots, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) \\
 & + A(y_{m-2}, \dots, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 & + (n-1)b^{m-i}[A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) + A(y_{m-1}, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 \leq & (n-1)\{bh^i + b^2h^{i+1} + b^3h^{i+2} + \dots + b^{m-i}h^{m-1}\}A_0 \\
 < & (n-1)bh^i[1 + bh + (bh)^2 + \dots]A_0 \\
 = & \frac{(n-1)bh^i}{1-bh}A_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Since X is complete A_b -metric space, so there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $y_i \rightarrow y$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will show that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. Suppose on the contrary that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$, so that $A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and $A(y, \dots, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$.

Consider the following and using inequality (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1 &= A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) \\
&\leq b[(n-1)A(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + A(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, f(x, y))] \\
&= b[(n-1)A(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + A(f(x_i, y_i), \dots, f(x_i, y_i), f(x, y))] \\
&\leq (n-1)bA(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + b \left[\beta_1 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta_2 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, f(x_i, y_i))A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, f(x, y)) + A(x, \dots, x, f(x_i, y_i)) + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)]} \right] \\
&= (n-1)bA(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + \frac{b\beta_1}{2} [A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)] \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1})A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[A(x_i, \dots, x_i, f(x, y)) + A(x, \dots, x, x_{i+1}) + A(x_i, \dots, x_i, x) + A(y_i, \dots, y_i, y)]}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) \leq 0$$

Therefore

$$A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y)) = 0$$

which implies that $x = f(x, y)$. Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence, (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove that the uniqueness of the common coupled fixed point of f and g , if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x, \dots, x, p) &= A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), g(p, q)) \\
&\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] \\
&\quad + \beta_2 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(p, \dots, p, g(p, q))}{1 + b[A(x, \dots, x, g(p, q)) + A(p, \dots, p, f(x, y)) + A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)]} \\
&\Rightarrow A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2})A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} A(y, \dots, y, q) \\
&\Rightarrow A(x, \dots, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} A(y, \dots, y, q) \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$A(y, \dots, y, q) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} A(x, \dots, x, p) \tag{10}$$

Adding (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q) &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1}) [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] &\leq 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} [A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q)] &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} > 0$. Therefore $A(x, \dots, x, p) + A(y, \dots, y, q) = 0$. Which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q \Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$. Thus f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point as desired. \square

Next, we present the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, A) be a complete symmetric A_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(f(x, y), \dots, f(x, y), f(u, v)) &\leq \beta_1 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)}{2} \\
 &+ \beta_2 \frac{A(x, \dots, x, f(x, y))A(u, \dots, u, f(u, v))}{1 + b[A(x, \dots, x, f(u, v)) + A(u, \dots, u, f(x, y)) + A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)]}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$ and $s < \frac{1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1}$. Then f has a unique common coupled fixed point.

3. CONCLUSION

In closing, we would like ask the following: is possible to prove the same results of Theorem 2.1 without the hypothesis of the symmetry of our space? if not, do we still have existence and uniqueness?

REFERENCES

- [1] Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, *Functional Analysis*, **30** (1989) 26-37.
- [2] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Abdelkrim Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *Matematiki Vesnik*, 64, 3 (2012), 258-266.
- [3] Mujahid Abbas, Bashir Ali and Yusuf I Suleiman, Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2015) 2015:64.
- [4] Manoj Ughade, Duran Turkoglu, Sukh Raj Singh and R. D. Daheriya, Some fixed point theorems in A_b -metric space, *British Journal of mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(6), (2016), 1-24.
- [5] N. Mlaiki, Y. Rohen, Some Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered A_b -metric space, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (2017), 1731-1743.
- [6] Nizar Souayah and Nabil Mlaiki, A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 16 (2016), 131-139.
- [7] N. Souayah, *A fixed point in partial S_b -metric spaces*, *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta*, **24**(3) (2016), 351-362.
- [8] N. Mlaiki, A. Zarrad, N. Souayah, A. Mukheimer, T. Abdeljawed, Fixed Point Theorems in M_b -metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **7** (2016), 1-9.
- [9] Yumnam Rohen, Tatjana Dosenovic and Stojan Radenovic, A note on the paper "A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces by Nizar Souayah and Nabil mlaiki", *Filomat* 31:11 (2017), 3335-3346.

- [10] Kh Bulbul, Y. Rohen and T. Chhatrajit Singh, Coupled fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space satisfying some rational contractive conditions, Springer Plus (2016) 5: 1261.
- [11] N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen and N. Mlaiki, Complex valued S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis (Accepted).
- [12] H. K. Nashine, Yumnam Rohen and Th. Chhatrajit, Common coupled fixed point theorems of two mappings satisfying generalised contractive condition in cone metric space, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 106(3) (2016) 791-799.
- [13] Laishram Shanjit, Yummam Rohen, Th. Chhatrajit and P. P. Murthy, Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered multiplicative metric space and its application, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 108(1) (2016) 49-62.
- [14] Bulbul Khomdram and Yumnam Rohen, Quadruple common fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 109(2) (2016) 279-293.
- [15] Guo, D. and Lakshmikantham, V.: Coupled Fixed Points of Nonlinear Operators with Applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, **11** (1987) 623-632.
- [16] Bhaskar, T.G. and Lakshmikantham, V.: Fixed Point Theory in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 65 (2006) 1379-1393.

YUMNAM ROHEN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANIPUR, IMPHAL, 795004
INDIA

E-mail address: ymnehor2008@yhao.com

NINGTHOUJAM PRIYOBARTA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANIPUR, IMPHAL, 795004
INDIA

E-mail address: ningthoujampriyo9@gmail.com

NABIL MLAIKI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PRINCE SULTAN UNIVERSITY, RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA
11586

E-mail address: nmlaiki@psu.edu.sa

NIZAR SOUAYAH

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCES, COMMUNITY COLLEGE AL-RIYADH, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY,
RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA 11586

E-mail address: nsouayah@ksu.edu.sa